

International Journal of Veterinary Sciences and Animal Husbandry



ISSN: 2456-2912 NAAS Rating (2025): 4.61 VET 2025; 10(9): 338-340 © 2025 VET

www.veterinarypaper.com

Received: 27-08-2025 Accepted: 20-09-2025

Dileep R

M.V.Sc. Scholar, Department of Poultry Science, Veterinary College, Hebbal, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

Dr. TN Krishnamurthy

Department of Poultry Science, Veterinary College, Hebbal, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

Dr. HC Indresh

Department of Poultry Science, Veterinary College, Hebbal, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

Wilfred Ruban

Department of Livestock Products Technology, Veterinary College, Karnataka Veterinary, Animal and Fisheries Sciences University (KVAFSU), Hebbal, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

Corresponding Author: Dileep R

M.V.Sc Scholar, Department of Poultry Science, Veterinary College, Hebbal, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

Effect of supplementation of organic acids on gut health in broilers

Dileep R, TN Krishnamurthy, HC Indresh and Wilfred Ruban

DOI: https://www.doi.org/10.22271/veterinary.2025.v10.i9e.2577

Abstract

An experiment was conducted using 120 day-old Cobb broiler chicks to study the effect of organic acids on gut health. The chicks were randomly divided into four treatment groups with three replicates of ten birds each for 42 days. Treatment groups included a control diet (T_1) as per BIS $(2007)^{[6]}$, 0.05% organic acids in control diet (T_2) , and experimental diets T_3 and T_4 supplemented with 0.075% and 0.1% organic acids in control diets, respectively, the results showed that birds supplemented organic acid diets in all treatment groups showed significant improvement in gut morphology were evident through increased villus height and crypt depth in the duodenum, jejunum, and ileocaecocolic junction. Microbial analysis further revealed higher *Lactobacillus* counts and reduced *E. coli* populations in treated groups compared to control group. It was concluded that supplementing organic acids improved gut health in broilers.

Keywords: *Lactobacillus* counts and reduced *E. coli*, villus height, crypt depth, duodenum, jejunum, and ileocaecocolic junction

Introduction

Poultry farming is one of the fastest-growing sectors in Indian agriculture, contributing significantly to food security, rural livelihoods, and the national economy. According to the 20th Livestock Census (2019), India's poultry population rose by 17% to 851.81 million, while poultry meat and egg production reached 10.25 million tonnes and 142.77 billion, respectively, in 2023-24. Despite this growth, per capita availability of poultry products still falls short of ICMR recommendations, highlighting the need to further enhance productivity. The rapid expansion of poultry production has been achieved through advances in genetics, nutrition, and scientific management. However, intensive rearing systems expose birds to stress and disease, historically managed by including antibiotic growth promoters (AGPs) in feed. AGPs improved performance by reducing microbial competition and enhancing nutrient utilization. Yet, their long-term use raised concerns about antibiotic resistance and residues in poultry products, leading to regulatory bans such as the European Union's prohibition in 2006. This created a need for safe and effective alternatives.

Organic acids and their salts have emerged as promising substitutes for AGPs. By lowering gut pH, they suppress pathogens like *E. coli*, *Salmonella*, and *Campylobacter* while promoting beneficial microbes and improving enzymatic activity. Their antimicrobial action results from disrupting bacterial enzymes and transport systems, leading to better nutrient absorption and gut health. Additionally, organic acids improve feed hygiene and act as natural preservatives (Manvatkar *et al.*, 2022) ^[7].

A wide range of organic acids such as formic, acetic, propionic, butyric, lactic, citric, and fumaric acids are used in poultry diets, often in salt form for improved stability and safety. These acids, naturally present in fruits, vegetables, and dairy products, are effective in improving feed conversion, mineral absorption, and intestinal morphology. Considering that feed accounts for up to 75% of poultry production costs, organic acids play a vital role in enhancing productivity under antibiotic-free broiler production systems.

Materials and Methods

A total of 120-day-old commercial broiler chicks were sourced from Venkateshwara Hatcheries Pvt. Ltd. Bengaluru for the research project. Organic acids (Acidac gold) used in the study was procured from Bionnar Health Care Pvt. Ltd. Kannur, Andhra Pradesh. Chicks were randomly distributed into four treatment groups in a completely randomized design. Each group had three replicates, with 10 chicks in each replicate. Following the Bureau of Indian Standards (BIS) 2007 [6] guidelines, the control group (T₁) was fed a basal diet, the treatment group T₂ was fed with 0.05% organic acids, treatment group T₃ was supplemented with 0.075% organic acids and treatment group T₄ fed with 0.1% organic acids. The chicks were reared in a deep litter system until six weeks of age with free access to feed and water throughout the experiment. Standard management practices were followed to maintain optimal conditions and ensure the welfare of the birds. Standard management practices were followed to maintain optimal conditions and ensure the welfare of the birds. The study was approved by the KVAFSU Institutional Animal Ethics Committee in Bidar, Karnataka.

At the end of the experiment, two birds from each replicate within the T_1 to T_4 treatment groups were selected for slaughter, tissue samples from the duodenum, jejunum, and

ileocaecocolic junction were collected from the sacrificed birds, rinsed with buffered saline, and then preserved in a 10% neutral buffered formalin solution. This preparation was for histomorphological analysis, enabled the assessment of villus height and crypt depth. Additionally microbial enumeration were assessed by quantifying *Lactobacillus* spp. and *E. coli* counts from the intestinal contents the data were statistically analysed.

Results

• Gross morphology

The results of supplementation of organic acids on intestinal villi height and crypt depth in broilers presented in Table 1. Across the six-week study, the statistical analysis revealed a significant differences ($p \le 0.05$) were observed on intestinal villi height and crypt depth in broilers at 42^{nd} day from different dietary treatment groups of the experiment of chicks among the different treatment groups. The treatment groups T_2 , T_3 and T_4 were showed significantly higher intestinal villi heights and crypt depths in all the sections of intestine such as duodenal, jejunal and ileocaecocolic junction compared to T_1 with no significant difference among T_2 , T_3 and T_4 treatment groups. These results indicate that treatments T_2 , T_3 and T_4 were the most effective in enhancing the gut health of chicks.

Table 1: Effect of supplementation of organic acids on intestinal villi height (μ m) and crypt depth (μ m) (Mean \pm SE) in broiler.

Evmonimont	al	Week					
Experiment group	Duodenal villi height	Duodenal crypt depth	Jejunal villi height	Jejunal crypt depth	Ileocaecocolic Junction villi height	Ileocaecocolic Junction crypt depth	
T						V 1 1	
T_1	1169.50±15.37 ^a	142.17±6.92 ^a	909.50±15.37 ^a	125.17±4.82 ^a	654.17±12.81 ^a	134.83±3.55 ^a	
T_2	1225.00±15.26 ^b	196.83±6.83 ^b	958.33±5.76 ^b	174.83±11.57 ^b	703.00 ± 2.66^{b}	171.17±10.89 ^b	
T ₃	1229.50±8.20b	197.33±7.20 ^b	957.83±8.10 ^b	175.67±12.89 ^b	710.83±8.10 ^b	173.67±6.87 ^b	
T_4	1221.33±8.37 ^b	191.33±11.39 ^b	961.33±8.37 ^b	181.33±11.39 ^b	714.33±8.37 ^b	172.83±3.41 ^b	

 $[\]overline{a}$, b Means in the same column with no common superscript differ significantly ($p \le 0.05$)

• Gut microbial count

The results of supplementation of organic acids on gut microbial load in broilers presented in Table 2. The intestinal $E.\ coli$ counts ($\log_{10} \mathrm{CFU/g}$) in groups $\mathrm{T_1}$, $\mathrm{T_2}$, $\mathrm{T_3}$ and $\mathrm{T_4}$ were 7.82, 6.70, 6.71 and 6.69, respectively. The statistical analysis revealed significant (p>0.05) difference in the $E\ coli$ counts of birds among treatment groups. The treatment groups $\mathrm{T_2}$, $\mathrm{T_3}$ and $\mathrm{T_4}$ showed significantly (p<0.05) lesser $E\ coli$ counts than the group $\mathrm{T_1}$. There was no significant difference (p>0.05) in $E\ coli$

counts among treatment groups T_2 , T_3 and T_4 . The intestinal *Lactobacillus* counts (log10CFU/g) in groups T_1 , T_2 , T_3 and T_4 were 6.65, 6.99, 6.99 and 7.06, respectively. The statistical analysis revealed significant ($p \le 0.05$) difference in the *Lactobacillus* counts of birds among treatment groups at the end of sixth week. The treatment groups T_2 , T_3 and T_4 showed significantly ($p \le 0.05$) better *Lactobacillus* counts than the group T_1 . There was no significant difference (p > 0.05) in *Lactobacillus* counts among treatment groups T_2 , T_3 and T_4 .

Table 2: Effect of supplementation of organic acids on gut microbial load (log_{10} CFU/g), (Mean \pm SE) in broiler.

Experimental group	E. coli (Log10 CFU/g)	Lactobacillus (log ₁₀ CFU/g)
T_1	7.82±0.055 ^b	6.65±0.045a
T_2	6.70±0.104 ^a	6.99±0.049 ^b
T ₃	6.71±0.086 ^a	6.99±0.040 ^b
T ₄	6.69±0.082a	7.06±0.126 ^b

a, b Means in the same column with no common superscript differ significantly $(p \le 0.05)$

Discussion

There was significant difference ($p \le 0.05$) in intestinal villi height and crypt depth in the groups fed with organic acids compared to the control group at the end of the experiment. The present study findings were in agreement with Yang *et al.* (2018) ^[9] who investigated in broilers on organic acids and noted significant increase (p < 0.05) in villus height and deeper crypts in the jejunum and ileum in dietary blend of essential organic acids supplemented groups compared to control group. This can be due to changes resulted from altered gut micro flora, improving epithelial proliferation and overall intestinal absorptive capacity.

In contrast Dong *et al.* (2024) $^{[5]}$ who investigated the impact of acidifiers and essential oils added on broiler intestinal morphology and the results noted no significant (p>0.05) difference on villus height, crypt depth, or villus height to crypt depth ratios in the duodenum, jejunum, and ileum among all groups.

There was significant difference ($p \le 0.05$) in gut microbial load of birds in the groups fed with organic acids compared to the control group at the end of the experiment.

The current study findings were in agreement with Dai *et al.* (2021) ^[4] who studied the effects of organic acids on gut microbiota of broilers and the results revealed significantly

modified the gut micro biota composition (p<0.05) in gut health and performance improvement in organic acids supplemented group compared to control group. This may be due to organic acids reduce gut pH which reduced pathogenic bacterial population through bacteriostatic and bactericidal property.

The present study was in disagreement with Agboola *et al.* (2015) ^[1] who investigated the effects of organic acid, probiotic, and combination on gut health in broiler chickens and noticed no effects on lactic acid bacteria, coliform bacteria or total bacterial counts in the gut in organic acid alone fed groups than organic acid and probiotic combined group.

Conclusion

Based on the above results it was concluded that the gut morphology of different groups fed with 0.05, 0.075 and 0.1% organic acids diet showed significant difference ($p \le 0.05$) in comparison to the control group at the end of the experiment ($42^{\rm nd}$ day). The gut microbial count of *E. coli* and *Lactobacillus* spp. in different groups supplemented with 0.05, 0.075 and 0.1% organic acids diet showed significant difference ($p \le 0.05$) in comparison to control group at the end of the experiment ($42^{\rm nd}$ day). There was no significant difference among 0.05, 0.075 and 0.1% organic acids on gut health. Hence it was concluded that addition of 0.05% organic acids is more beneficial in improving gut morphology, gut microbial count of *E. coli* and *Lactobacillus* spp. in broilers.

Acknowledgments

Authors gratefully acknowledge the facilities provided by Department of Poultry Science, Veterinary College, Hebbal, and Bengaluru.

Conflict of Interest

Not available

Financial Support

Not available

Reference

- Agboola AF, Omidiwura BRO, Odu O, Popoola IO, Iyayi EA. Effects of organic acid and probiotic on performance and gut morphology in broiler chickens. Department of Animal Science, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria; 2015.
- 2. BAHS G. Basic animal husbandry statistics. Department of Animal Husbandry Dairying and Fisheries, Government of India; 2024.
- 3. BIS IS. Poultry Feed Specifications. 5th rev. New Delhi: Bureau of Indian Standards; 2007.
- 4. Dai D, Qiu K, Zhang HJ, Wu SG, Han YM, Wu YY, *et al.* Organic acids as alternatives for antibiotic growth promoters alter the intestinal structure and micro biota and improve the gut health in broilers. Front Microbiol. 2021;11:618144.
- 5. Dong Y, Gao X, Qiao C, Han M, Miao Z, Liu C, *et al.* Effects of mixed organic acids and essential oils in drinking water on gut health, intestinal digestive capacity, and immune status in broiler chickens. Animals (Basel). 2024;14(15).
- Mahmood Z, Yameen M, Jahangeer M, Riaz M, Ghaffar A, Javid I. Lignin as natural antioxidant capacity. In: Lignin Trends and Applications. London: IntechOpen; 2018 Mar 12.

- 7. Manvatkar PN, Kulkarni RC, Awandkar SP, Chavhan SG, Durge SM, Avhad SR, *et al.* Performance of broiler chicken on dietary supplementation of protected organic acids blend. Br Poult Sci. 2022;63(5):633-640.
- 8. Snedecor GW, Cochran WG. Statistical methods. 8th Ed. Ames (IA): Iowa State University Press; 1994.
- 9. Yang X, Xin H, Yang C, Yang X. Impact of essential oils and organic acids on the gut health, digestive functions and immunity of broiler chickens. Anim Nutr. 2018;4(4):388-393.

How to Cite This Article

Dileep R, Krishnamurthy TN, Indresh HC, Ruban W. Effect of supplementation of organic acids on gut health in broilers. International Journal of Veterinary Sciences and Animal Husbandry. 2025;10(9):338-340.

Creative Commons (CC) License

This is an open-access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0) License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.