

International Journal of Veterinary Sciences and Animal Husbandry



# Effect of roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa*) calyx on the performance of *Tenyivo* pig

# Hovinu Sothu, M Catherine Rutsa, R Zuyie, VK Vidyarthi, Nizamuddin, N Savino and Rajan Singh

# Abstract

The present research work entitled "Effect of Roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa*) calyx on the performance of *Tenyivo* pig" was carried out with an aim to find out the effect of Roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa*) calyx on the performance of *Tenyivo* pig in terms of body weight, body weight gain, feed intake, feed conversion efficiency, morbidity, mortality and economics of pig production. Twenty weaned *Tenyivo* piglets of two to three months old was selected and divided into four treatment groups namely T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> consisting of five animals in each treatment. Treatment group marked as T<sub>1</sub> served as control group and treatment groups T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> were given conventional feed supplemented with Roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa*) calyx powder at different levels of 0.5%, 1% and 1.5%, respectively. The period of the experiment was for two months. It was observed that treatment group T<sub>4</sub> given 1.5% of Roselle calyx powershowed higher (p<0.05) final body weight followed by treatment group T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>2</sub>. Higher gain in weight, feed intake and better overall feed conversion efficiency with a value of 3.67 in treatment T<sub>4</sub> group. There was ten percent morbidity and five percent mortality during the experimental period. The maximum profit per piglet as well as per kg live weight was observed in T<sub>4</sub> followed by T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>2</sub>. Higher benefit cost ratio (B.C.R) of 1.25 in T<sub>4</sub> group was noted. Based on the results of the present study, inclusion of Roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa*) at 1.5% calyx powder in pig feed can be recommended.

Keywords: Tenyi Vo pig, Roselle calyx, Body weight, Feed intake, Feed conversion efficiency

# Introduction

Livestock is an important component in Indian agriculture in terms of drought animals, for crop cultivation and transportation. Livestock in India contributes almost half of organic manure produced annually, a major attribute in crop productivity. The larger part of the rural population in the country is wedded to livestock to meet needs like food, cash or nutrition and is paving the way out of poverty for many families. India has a livestock population of 536.76 million as per the 20<sup>th</sup> livestock census contributing about 6.17% to total Gross Value Added (GVA) and 30.87% to agriculture and allied sector GVA (BAHS, 2021) <sup>[6]</sup> where about 20.5 million people depend on livestock for their livelihood, all of which depicts the importance of livestock sector in India.

In terms of food and nutritional security, occupation, poverty alleviation and sustainable income, livestock plays a crucial role in tending to these requirements of the growing population. Amongst the livestock species, pigs serve as one of the important animals in income generation for the rural population with high returns on low investment. The country has a pig population of about 9.06 million according to the  $20^{\text{th}}$  livestock census, contributing 1.7% to the total livestock population (DAHD, 2019) <sup>[12]</sup>.

Pigs are non-ruminant animals belonging to the family Suidae of the order Artiodactyls. The ancestors of most modern breeds of swine are the European wild boar (Epstein, 1971)<sup>[13]</sup>. Domestication of swine has been known to man since the dawn of civilization. It can be dated back as early as 4900 BC in China and 1500 BC in Europe (Moeller *et al.*, 2003)<sup>[29]</sup>. Attributing mostly to the superiority of meat yield and feed conversion efficiency over other livestock and unparallel versatility and adaptability to diverse environmental conditions, pigs play an important role in the production of food for human consumption.

In India, the highest population of pig is owned by Assam of the North-East region (NER)

ISSN: 2456-2912 VET 2024; 9(2): 227-233 © 2024 VET www.veterinarypaper.com Received: 21-12-2023 Accepted: 28-01-2024

### Hovinu Sothu

M.Sc. Student, School of Agricultural Sciences, Nagaland University, Medziphema Campus, Nagaland, India

### **M** Catherine Rutsa

Associate Professor, Department of LPM, School of Agricultural Sciences, Nagaland University, Medziphema Campus, Nagaland, India

#### **R** Zuyie

Associate Professor, Department of LPM, School of Agricultural Sciences, Nagaland University, Medziphema Campus, Nagaland, India

# VK Vidyarthi

Professor, Department of LPM, School of Agricultural Sciences, Nagaland University, Medziphema Campus, Nagaland, India

#### Nizamuddin

Professor, Department of LPM, School of Agricultural Sciences, Nagaland University, Medziphema Campus, Nagaland, India

#### N Savino

Associate Professor, Department of LPM, School of Agricultural Sciences, Nagaland University, Medziphema Campus, Nagaland, India

### Rajan Singh

Ph.D. Scholar, Department of LPM, School of Agricultural Sciences, Nagaland University, Medziphema Campus, Nagaland, India

# **Corresponding Author:**

Hovinu Sothu M.Sc Student, School of Agricultural Sciences, Nagaland University, Medziphema Campus, Nagaland, India with 2.10 million (DAHD, 2019)<sup>[12]</sup>. About 46.8% of the total pig population in India belongs to the NER and the larger part of the NER population is fully or partially dependent on the livestock sector, especially pig farming for sustainability. The major benefit of rearing pigs as compared to rearing other livestock animals is the low cost of inputs. Another advantage of rearing pigs is that pigs can be reared with household or kitchen wastes, locally available forages or crop by products. This is a good amount of profit with minimal expenditure with regard to feed cost.

The people of Northeast India are mostly non vegetarian and the ethnic and tribal people of North East Hill (NEH) of India are confined to their traditional food habits with meat as an integral part of the culture (Kadirvel et al., 2018) [18]. Amongst the meat available in the region, there is higher demand for pork which highlights the potential and importance of pig rearing in the NER. In Nagaland, pig accounts for about 72% of the total livestock in the state and per capita consumption of pork was 7.97 kg/year in 2018-2019 which is much higher than the national average of 0.28 kg (Singh et al., 2021)<sup>[36]</sup>. Pork is preferred over other meat as a source of animal protein, alongside being nutritious with high fat, low water content and better energy value than that of other meats (Singh et al., 2020)<sup>[35]</sup>. The total production of meat in Nagaland in 2020-2021 was reported as 23.870 tonnes, out of which pork contributed 12.540 tonnes (BAHS, 2021) [6].

The people of Nagaland have a preference of meat which is locally reared and so, meat of *Tenvivo* pig is sought after. *Tenvivo* is one of the indigenous pig breeds of India belonging to Nagaland state, recognized in the year 2016 with the number INDIA\_PIG\_1400\_TENYIVO\_09004 accession (Kath et al., 2019) <sup>[19]</sup> by the National Bureau of Animal Genetics Resource (NBAGR), Karnal, Haryana. Tenvivo pig has a strong and long tapering snout and has small erect ears. They are potbellied with black and hairy body coat and often have white markings on the forehead, flank and legs with a long tail which ends with a white switch (Rutsa & Rutsa., 2016) <sup>[33]</sup>. *Tenvivo* pigs are known to reach sexual maturity as early as three months old and are known to possess good mothering ability. The meat of Tenyivo is popular and wellliked among the people of Nagaland for its distinctive flavour and taste.

Roselle plants are popular in Nagaland and around the world due to their beneficial properties. Roselle (Hibiscus sabdariffa) plant is an annual or perennial woody based subshrub of height 2-2.5 m (Islam, 2019) <sup>[17]</sup> which belongs to the family malvaceae. It is locally used as herbal beverage, in the food industry as a flavouring agent and as herbal medication (Amer et al., 2022)<sup>[1]</sup>. In Nagaland, it is grown as fences in the backyard garden or paddy fields and is abundantly available. The tribal people of Nagaland state often use Roselle calyx in making tea or delicious tangy curry and is grown in plenty. The surplus of Roselle calyx is fed to the pigs as feed additives for the pigs alongside paddy grains, rice polish, wheat bran and kitchen wastes. Taking into account, the availability of Roselle plants in Nagaland and its usage as folk medicines and feed additives, an experimental study was undertaken to test the effects of Roselle calyx on Tenyivo pig.

# **Method and Materials**

The twenty (20) experimental piglets were divided into four treatments  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  consisting of five weaned piglets in each treatment and was marked as A1, A2, A3, A4, A5;

B1, B2, B3, B4, B5; C1, C2, C3, C4, C5 and D1, D2, D3, D4, D5, respectively. Treatment one marked as  $T_1$  served as control group, the other three treatments  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  were given conventional feed supplemented with Roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa*) calyx powder at different levels of 0.5%, 1% and 1.5%, respectively. The distribution of the experimental piglets and their treatments are as given in Table 1. Clean drinking water was provided *ad libitum* to the experimental animals and concentrate ration feed was given twice a day, once at 7:30 a.m. in the morning and at 4:30 p.m. in the evening.

**Table 1:** Chemical composition of Roselle calyx (Mohamed *et al.*2012)

Sl. No.	Components	Percentage (%)
1.	Moisture	11.00
2.	Crude Protein	7.88
3.	Crude fibre	13.20
4.	Crude fat	0.16
5.	Ash	10.16
6.	Total carbohydrates	57.16
7.	Ascorbic acid (mg/100g)	11.00
8.	Titrable acidity (mg/100g)	9.00
9.	Total soluble solids	5.00
10.	Calcium(mg/100g)	60.00
11.	Iron (mg/100g)	25.00

A total of twenty (20) weaned Tenyi Vo piglets of two to three months old were randomly selected irrespective of sex from the farm of Indian Council of Agricultural Research-All India Coordinated Research on Pig (ICAR-AICRP on pig), Department of Livestock Production and Management, School of Agricultural Sciences (SAS), Nagaland University, Medziphema Campus-797106, Nagaland. Each of the experimental animals selected were ear tagged with a plastic ear tag engraved with numbers for proper identification. The identified piglets were distributed and housed in four pens comprising of five piglets in each pen irrespective of sex. The initial body weights of all the experimental animals were recorded. The animals were reared under standard housing and management system for a period of two months from 23rd August to 25<sup>th</sup> October 2022. All the experimental animals were dewormed orally with fenbendazole @ 5mg /kg body weight per animal per day for three consecutive days (Photo plate 2-B). All the experimental piglets were fed twice daily with standard conventional ration containing 20% Crude Protein per Bhat et al. (2010)<sup>[9]</sup>. The feed ingredients consist of grounded maize, groundnut cakes, molasses/sugar, wheat bran, fish meal and mineral mixture which were procured from the authorized dealer Animal Feeds and Needs, Medziphema, Chümukedima. The concentrated ration was freshly prepared manually in the farm feed go-down once a week. The composition of ration with 20% CP as per Bhat et al. (2010)<sup>[9]</sup> is presented in the Table 2.

Table 2: Composition of ration with 20% CP (Bhat et al. 2010) [9]

Sl. No.	Ingredients	Quantity (Kg)
1.	Maize	65
2.	Groundnut cake	14
3.	Molasses/sugar	5
4.	Wheat bran	10
5.	Fish meal	5
6.	Mineral mixture	1
	Total	100

The experimental animals were housed under standard housing system with cemented floors, brick walls and corrugated galvanized iron roofing. The pens were thoroughly washed, cleaned and disinfected using 0.5% potassium permanganate (KmnO<sub>4</sub>) and left to dry for two days before the experimental piglets were introduced into the pens. A footbath was prepared at the entrance of the piggery shed containing 0.5% potassium permanganate (KmnO<sub>4</sub>) solution for the purpose of disinfection which was replaced daily every morning with freshly prepared KmnO<sub>4</sub> solution. Prior to each feeding and watering of the animals, the four pens along with the feeding and watering troughs were thoroughly cleaned twice daily morning and evening. The individual body weight was recorded at the commencement of the experimental work and thereafter, individual body weight was recorded on a weekly basis till the completion of the study.

The quantity/amount of ration fed to the piglets was recorded and the left-over feed residue, if any, was collected and weighed. Feed intake was calculated by subtracting the leftover feed from the total amount of feed given to the piglets. The experimental animals were observed daily to assess the health status and wellbeing of the animals. The normal activities such as animal's movement, alertness, feed and water intake, defecation and urination, nasal and eye discharges were observed throughout the experimental period. The economics of pig production was calculated on the overall cost of inputs: the cost of piglets, cost of feed, labour charges, electricity, medicines, water and other miscellaneous cost. To obtain the total cost of feed, the total quantity of feed consumed during the experimental period and the feed cost per kilogram was taken into account. The final live weight of the piglet at the end of the experiment was considered for calculating the gross return per piglet and net profit per piglet. The experimental data collected were statistically analysed using Completely Randomized Design (CRD) as prescribed by Snedecor and Cochran (1994)<sup>[38]</sup>. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to analyse difference between the treatments at 5% level of significance. The differences between the treatment means were analysed using Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT) method.

# **Result and Discussion**

The pattern of average body weights in different treatment group up to the ninth week is given in Table 4. The average body weights at the initial period were recorded as 3.69 kg, 3.67 kg, 3.61 kg and 3.91 kg for  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_4$ , respectively. The average body weight in the first and second week were recorded as 3.89 kg, 3.85 kg, 3.79 kg, 4.11 kg and 4.12 kg, 4.05 kg, 4.03 kg, 4.33 kg for  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_4$ , respectively with no significant difference (*p*>0.05). During the fourth and fifth week of the experimental period, the average body weight recorded was numerically higher in treatment group  $T_4$  and was recorded as 5.03 kg and 5.39 kg however, statistical analysis showed no significant difference as compared to other treatment groups.

Table 3: Effect o	f Roselle calyx	powder on	the body w	veight of T	envi Vo pig
	2	1		0	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

Body weight (kg)										
Week	Initial	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	3 <sup>rd</sup>	4 <sup>th</sup>	5 <sup>th</sup>	6 <sup>th</sup>	7 <sup>th</sup>	8 <sup>th</sup>	9 <sup>th</sup>
T <sub>1</sub>	3.69 <sup>a</sup>	3.89 <sup>a</sup>	4.12 <sup>a</sup>	4.44 <sup>a</sup>	4.71 <sup>a</sup>	5.04 <sup>a</sup>	5.35 <sup>ab</sup>	5.88 <sup>b</sup>	6.29 <sup>b</sup>	6.73 <sup>b</sup>
$T_2$	3.67 <sup>a</sup>	3.85 <sup>a</sup>	4.05 <sup>a</sup>	4.27 <sup>a</sup>	4.51 <sup>a</sup>	4.79 <sup>a</sup>	5.08 <sup>b</sup>	5.44 <sup>b</sup>	5.76 <sup>b</sup>	6.13 <sup>b</sup>
Τ3	3.61 <sup>a</sup>	3.79 <sup>a</sup>	4.03 <sup>a</sup>	4.26 <sup>a</sup>	4.56 <sup>a</sup>	4.83 <sup>a</sup>	5.15 <sup>b</sup>	5.47 <sup>b</sup>	5.84 <sup>b</sup>	6.26 <sup>b</sup>
Τ4	3.91 <sup>a</sup>	4.11 <sup>a</sup>	4.33 <sup>a</sup>	4.60 <sup>a</sup>	5.03 <sup>a</sup>	5.39 <sup>a</sup>	5.87 <sup>a</sup>	6.43 <sup>a</sup>	6.90 <sup>a</sup>	7.59 <sup>a</sup>
Sem±	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.17	0.19	0.17	0.18	0.19
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.56	0.52	0.56	0.58

**Note:** NS = Non-significant at 5%

<sup>a, b, c</sup> Means bearing different superscripts in a column differ significantly.

Significant difference (p < 0.05) was recorded in the sixth, seventh, eighth and ninth week of the experimental period and the recorded average body weight were 5.35 kg, 5.08 kg, 5.15 kg, 5.87 kg; 5.88 kg, 5.44 kg, 5.47 kg, 6.43 kg; 6.29 kg, 5.76 kg, 5.84 kg, 6.90 kg and 6.73 kg, 6.13 kg, 6.26 kg, 7.59 kg for  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_4$ , respectively. In the sixth week, the statistical data analysis indicated that T<sub>4</sub> differed significantly (p < 0.05) from T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub>. The difference between group of T<sub>1</sub>,  $T_2$ , and  $T_3$  group was non-significant in the seventh, eighth and ninth week, however, T<sub>4</sub>group which was given 1.5% Roselle calyx powder was significantly different (p < 0.05) with the other treatment groups. From the perusal of data, it was observed that  $T_4$  showed higher final body weight followed by  $T_1$  and treatment group  $T_3$  and  $T_2$  which is in agreement with the findings of Amer et al. (2023) [2] who reported higher final body weight in pigs fed a diet supplemented with nutgall (Rhus semialata). Similarly, Lei et al. (2018)<sup>[24]</sup> also reported greater final body weight in pigs given a diet supplemented with natural and fermented herbs than those fed with control diet. However, Zhou et al. (2013) <sup>[40]</sup> found no significant effect of *Coptis Chinenis* on the body weight of growing-finishing pigs. Also, Oanh et al. (2021)<sup>[31]</sup> reported no significant effect of medicinal plant mixture on the final body weight of growing pigs. The differences in the

~ 229 ~

findings may be due to the genetic makeup, inter breed variation, environmental effect and management practices over and above the difference in the test material.

# **Body Weight Gain**

The pattern of growth and average weekly body weight gain in different treatment group up to the ninth week is given in Table 4. The average body weight gain in the first and second week were recorded as 0.21 kg, 0.18 kg, 0.19 kg, 0.20 kg and 0.23 kg, 0.20 kg, 0.24 kg, 0.22 kg/pig/week in T1, T2, T3 and T<sub>4</sub>, respectively and differences in the treatment group were non-significant (P>0.05). In the third week of the experimental period, the average gain in body weight were recorded as 0.32 kg, 0.22 kg, 0.23 kg and 0.27 kg for  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_4$ , respectively with T<sub>1</sub> differing significantly with treatment group  $T_2$  and  $T_3$ . The corresponding average body weight gain in the fourth week was 0.27 kg, 0.24 kg, 0.30 kg and 0.44 kg with  $T_4$  significantly higher (p < 0.05) than other treatment group. During the fifth week, T<sub>4</sub> was numerically higher as compared to other groups but no significant difference was observed. In the sixth, seventh, eighth and ninth, the statistical data analysis showed significant difference (p < 0.05) amongst the treatment group and the average body weight gain were recorded as 0.31 kg, 0.29 kg, 0.33 kg, 0.48 kg;0.40 kg, 0.36

kg, 0.31 kg, 0.55 kg; 0.41 kg, 0.32 kg, 0.38 kg, 0.47 kg and 0.44 kg, 0.37kg, 0.42 kg, 0.65 kg for  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_4$ ,

respectively.  $T_4$  group recorded higher (p < 0.05) gain in body weight from sixth to ninth week.

Table 4: Effect of Roselle calyx powder on the weekly body weight gain of Tenyi Vo pig

Weekly Body weight gain (kg)											
Treatment	nent $1^{\text{st}}$ $2^{\text{nd}}$ $3^{\text{rd}}$ $4^{\text{th}}$ $5^{\text{th}}$ $6^{\text{th}}$ $7^{\text{th}}$ $8^{\text{th}}$ $9^{\text{th}}$										
$T_1$	0.21ª	0.23 <sup>a</sup>	0.32 <sup>a</sup>	0.27 <sup>b</sup>	0.33 <sup>a</sup>	0.31 <sup>b</sup>	0.40 <sup>b</sup>	0.41°	0.44 <sup>b</sup>		
$T_2$	0.18 <sup>a</sup>	0.20 <sup>a</sup>	0.22 <sup>b</sup>	0.24 <sup>b</sup>	0.28 <sup>a</sup>	0.29 <sup>b</sup>	0.36 <sup>bc</sup>	0.32 <sup>b</sup>	0.37 <sup>b</sup>		
T3	0.19 <sup>a</sup>	0.24 <sup>a</sup>	0.23 <sup>b</sup>	0.30 <sup>b</sup>	0.27 <sup>a</sup>	0.33 <sup>b</sup>	0.31 <sup>c</sup>	0.38 <sup>bc</sup>	0.42 <sup>b</sup>		
$T_4$	0.20 <sup>a</sup>	0.22 <sup>a</sup>	0.27 <sup>ab</sup>	0.44 <sup>a</sup>	0.36 <sup>a</sup>	0.48 <sup>a</sup>	0.55ª	0.47 <sup>a</sup>	0.65 <sup>a</sup>		
SEm±	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.02		
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	0.06	0.08	NS	0.13	0.07	0.08	0.07		

**Note:** NS = Non-significant at 5%

<sup>a, b, c</sup> Means bearing different superscripts in a column differ significantly.

Similar finding was reported by Park et al. (2000) <sup>[32]</sup>, who recorded a higher average daily gain in weaned pigs fed a diet supplemented with herbs mixture. In agreement with the current findings, Lei et al. (2018) [24] also reported greater average daily gain in growing-finishing pigs given dietary supplementation of natural and ferment herbs as compared to control group. Amer et al. (2023) [2] observed higher body weight in weaned pigs supplemented with nutgall (Rhus samialata) which corresponds with the current finding. In contrary, Aphirakchatsakun et al. (2008) [4] found no significant effect in crossbred post-weaned pigs given dietary supplementation of Roselle (Hibiscus sabdariffa) calyx. The differences could be due to the variation in the level (4%, 8%, and 12%) of inclusion of the test materials as compared to the present level of inclusion *i.e.* 0.5%, 1% and 1.5%. Therefore, based on the present experimental finding, Roselle calyx up to 1.5% can be recommended for inclusion in diet to get better body weight gain.

# **Feed Intake**

The pattern of weekly average feed intake of different experimental groups from the initial week up to the ninth week is presented the statistical analysis on the weekly average feed intake up to the ninth week is presented in table 5. The total feed intake for the entire experimental period recorded was 11.22 kg, 10.53 kg, 10.68 kg and 12.87 kg/pig for  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_4$ , respectively. In the first week, the average consumption of feed was 0.83 kg, 0.80 kg, 0.83 kg and 0.86 kg/pig/week for  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_4$ , respectively. In the first three weeks, the analysis revealed that the difference

among the treatment groups were non-significant (P>0.05). The corresponding feed intake of the animals on the fourth week of the experimental work was 1.17 kg, 1.07 kg, 1.05 kg and 1.26 kg, respectively in which no significant difference was noted between  $T_4$  and  $T_1$  but  $T_4$  group differed significantly with  $T_2$  and  $T_3$ . Similar feed intake pattern was recorded in the fifth week. In the sixth week, higher feed intake was observed in treatment groups T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>4</sub>with no significant difference between the two treatment groups but  $T_1$  and  $T_3$  differed significantly (p<0.05) with  $T_4$ . The feed intake recorded for T<sub>4</sub> was 1.60 kg, 1.88 kg and 2.31kg in the seventh, eighth and ninth week, respectively which was significantly higher (p < 0.05) than other treatment groups followed by  $T_1$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_2$ . Higher feed intake in  $T_4$  may be because of the increased palatability of feed due to higher inclusion of Roselle calyx powder. The result was in accordance with the findings of Park et al. (2000) [32] who also reported greater average daily feed intake in growingfinishing's pigs treated with dietary supplementation of herb mixture. Similarly, Amer *et al.* (2023)<sup>[2]</sup> also reported higher feed intake in weaned Tenyi Vo pigs given a diet supplemented with nutgall (Rhus samialata) fruit. However, the findings of Aphirakchatsakun *et al.* (2008)<sup>[4]</sup> observed no significant difference in average daily feed intake in crossbred post-weaned pigs given dietary supplementation at levels of 0, 4, 8 and 12% of Roselle (Hibiscus sabdariffa) calyx which contradicts with the results of the current study. The differences in the findings may be due to the differences in the pig breed, level of supplement given and feeding management.

**Table 5:** Effect of Roselle calyx powder on the feed intake of *Tenyivo* pig

Weekly Body weight gain (kg)													
Treatment	Treatment1st2nd3rd4th5th6th7th8th												
T <sub>1</sub>	0.83 <sup>a</sup>	0.95 <sup>a</sup>	1.05 <sup>a</sup>	1.17 <sup>ab</sup>	1.22 <sup>ab</sup>	1.03 <sup>b</sup>	1.58 <sup>a</sup>	1.62 <sup>b</sup>	1.75 <sup>b</sup>				
$T_2$	0.80 <sup>a</sup>	0.88 a	1.02 <sup>a</sup>	1.07 <sup>b</sup>	1.13 <sup>b</sup>	1.33 <sup>ab</sup>	1.30 <sup>b</sup>	1.43 <sup>b</sup>	1.56 <sup>c</sup>				
T <sub>3</sub>	0.83 <sup>a</sup>	0.90 <sup>a</sup>	1.01 <sup>a</sup>	1.05 <sup>b</sup>	1.20 <sup>b</sup>	1.21 <sup>b</sup>	1.39 <sup>b</sup>	1.45 <sup>b</sup>	1.64 <sup>bc</sup>				
$T_4$	0.86 <sup>a</sup>	0.98 <sup>a</sup>	1.04 <sup>a</sup>	1.26 <sup>a</sup>	1.33 <sup>a</sup>	1.62 <sup>a</sup>	1.60 <sup>a</sup>	1.88 <sup>a</sup>	2.31ª				
SEm±	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.13	0.06	0.07	0.06				
CD (P=0.05)	0.83 <sup>a</sup>	0.95 a	1.05 a	1.17 <sup>ab</sup>	1.22 <sup>ab</sup>	1.03 <sup>b</sup>	1.58 <sup>a</sup>	1.62 <sup>b</sup>	1.75 <sup>b</sup>				

**Note:** NS = Non-significant at 5%

<sup>a, b, c</sup> Means bearing different superscripts in a column differ significantly.

# **Feed Conversion Efficiency**

The effect of Roselle in weekly feed conversion efficiency of *Tenyivo* pigs from the commencement of the experimental work up to the ninth week is given in Table 6. The average feed conversion efficiency in the first week of the experimental work in  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  were recorded as 4.17, 4.47, 4.47 and 4.26, respectively. The corresponding feed conversion efficiency of the animals in the second and third

week of the experimental work were 4.12, 4.54, 4.08, 4.37 and 3.56, 4.69, 4.53 and 3.93, respectively, with nonsignificant (p>0.05) difference among the treatment groups. In the fourth week, least value of 2.95 was recorded in T<sub>4</sub> which differed significantly (p<0.05) from group T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub>. No significant difference among the treatment groups were observed in the fifth week. The values of FCE in the sixth week was recorded as 3.54, 4.66, 3.85 and 3.35 with T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> significantly differing from group T<sub>2</sub> groups. In the seventh week, T<sub>4</sub> had better (p<0.05) FCE in comparison with other treatment group with 2.90 recorded value. During the eighth week, no significant difference was recorded among the treatment groups, however, the feed conversion efficiency at the end of the experiment was recorded as 3.98, 4.24, 4.04 and 3.36 with T<sub>4</sub> having a better (p<0.05) feed conversion efficiency followed by T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>2</sub>. The overall feed conversion efficiency (FCE) recorded was 3.85, 4.38, 4.17 and 3.67 for T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub>, respectively with the lowest value in the group supplemented with 1.5% Roselle *i.e.* T<sub>4</sub>group. The observations of the present experimental study were in line with the findings of Aphirakchatsakun *et al.* 

(2008) <sup>[4]</sup> who also reported a better feed conversion efficiency in post-weaning pigs given a diet supplemented with Roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa*) than those fed with control diet. Zhou *et al.* (2013) <sup>[40]</sup> also stated that growing-finishing pigs given dietary supplementation of *Coptis Chinensis* recorded better feed conversion efficiency. However, the observations of the current study were inconsistent with the observations of Lei *et al.* (2018) <sup>[24]</sup> who reported no significant difference in gain to feed ratio in growing-finishing pigs given dietary supplementation of natural and ferment herbs throughout the experimental period. The differences in the findings may be due to the type and composition variation in the test materials.

 Table 6: Effect of Roselle calyx powder on the feed conversion efficiency of Tenyivo pig

Feed conversion efficiency (FCE)											
Week	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	3 <sup>rd</sup>	4 <sup>th</sup>	5 <sup>th</sup>	6 <sup>th</sup>	7 <sup>th</sup>	8 <sup>th</sup>	9 <sup>th</sup>		
T1	4.17 <sup>a</sup>	4.12 <sup>a</sup>	3.56 <sup>a</sup>	4.33 <sup>ab</sup>	3.75 <sup>a</sup>	3.54 <sup>b</sup>	4.01 <sup>a</sup>	3.19 <sup>a</sup>	3.98 <sup>a</sup>		
T2	4.47 <sup>a</sup>	4.54 <sup>a</sup>	4.69 <sup>a</sup>	4.46 <sup>a</sup>	4.15 <sup>a</sup>	4.66 <sup>a</sup>	3.78 <sup>a</sup>	4.46 <sup>a</sup>	4.24 <sup>a</sup>		
T3	4.47 <sup>a</sup>	4.08 <sup>a</sup>	4.53 <sup>a</sup>	3.68 <sup>bc</sup>	4.50 <sup>a</sup>	3.85 <sup>ab</sup>	4.45 <sup>a</sup>	3.92ª	4.04 <sup>a</sup>		
T4	4.26 <sup>a</sup>	4.37 <sup>a</sup>	3.93 <sup>a</sup>	2.95°	3.94 <sup>a</sup>	3.35 <sup>b</sup>	2.90 <sup>b</sup>	4.01 <sup>a</sup>	3.36 <sup>b</sup>		
SEm±	0.16	0.30	0.30	0.25	0.32	0.29	0.25	0.14	0.19		
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	0.75	0.97	0.88	0.76	NS	0.57		

**Note:** NS = Non-significant at 5%

<sup>a, b, c</sup> Means bearing different superscripts in a column differ significantly.

# Morbidity and Mortality rate

Through the observations made during the experimental work, it was noted that two animals marked as A3 and B5 from different treatment groups T1 and T2, respectively showed signs of weakness. The clinical observations recorded rectal temperature of 103°F and 102.74°F in A3 and B5, respectively. The animals appeared to be lethargic during the observational period coupled with loss of appetite, watery eye discharges, vomiting, diarrhea and labored breathing; reduced feed consumption and water intake was also noted. The sick animals were given glucose water orally. The experimental animal marked as B5 recovered, however, animal marked as A3 from treatment group  $T_1$  further abstained from eating the feeds provided and succumbed to death giving an overall mortality rate of 5%. Morbidity of 10% was recorded during the entire experimental period. The dead piglet was then handed over to the ICAR-AICRP on pig Nagaland for postmortem. Mortality of 20% in Tenyivo pigs was also reported in a study conducted by Amer et al. (2023)<sup>[2]</sup> indicating that mortality in piglets is not uncommon in control as well as in treatment group. The variation in the mortality percentage could be due to differences in the management practices and seasonal effects which are in to the observations of the current study.

# **Economics of pig production**

The detailed economics of rearing *Tenyivo* pig throughout the research period using Roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa*) calyx as a diet supplement. The expenditure incurred by cost of feed per piglet was Rs. 358.98, Rs.335.40, Rs.338.91and Rs. 406.48for treatment  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_4$ , respectively. The corresponding total cost of production was Rs. 3526.88, Rs. 3503.57, Rs. 3507.35 and Rs. 3575.35, respectively which was inclusive of the cost of piglets, feed, Roselle calyx powder, labor charges, electricity, water and miscellaneous cost.

As indicated in the Table 3, the total returns through sale of live pigs, gunny bags and manure for  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_4$ was Rs. 3967.95, Rs. 3621.40, Rs. 3696.80 and Rs. 4469.36, respectively. The profit per piglet and profit per kilogram live

weight was Rs. 441.07, Rs. 117.83, Rs. 189.45, Rs. 894.01 and Rs. 65.56, Rs.19.22, Rs. 30.26, Rs. 117.76 for  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_4$ , respectively with the maximum value in  $T_4$  followed by  $T_1$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_2$ . Higher benefit cost ratio (B.C.R) of 1.25 was noted in  $T_4$  group which has an inclusion of 1.5% Roselle calyx. This result is consistent with the findings of Amer *et al.* (2022)<sup>[1]</sup> who also reported higher profit per piglet and higher B.C.R. which indicates that inclusion of locally available herbs and medicinal plants in the diet of pigs can maximize profit with minimal input cost on dietary supplements owing to its abundance.

# Conclusion

On the basis of the results obtained during the experimental study statistical analysis revealed that treatment group  $T_4$ recorded significantly higher (p < 0.05) final body weight followed by  $T_1$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_2$  treatment group. The final average gain in weight was higher (p < 0.05) in treatment group T<sub>4</sub> as compared to other treatment groups. The total feed intake and average weekly feed intake was found to be higher in T4treatment group. Better overall feed conversion efficiency was observed in treatment group T<sub>4</sub> which had the lowest value of 3.67 among the treatment groups. The maximum profit per piglet as well as per kg live weight was observed in  $T_4$  followed by  $T_1$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_2$ . Higher benefit cost ratio (B.C.R) of 1.25 in T<sub>4</sub> was noted. From the present study, it can be concluded that Roselle (Hibiscus sabdariffa) calyx powder supplemented at a level of 1.5% in the diet of Tenvivo pigs had better overall performance in terms of final body weight, body weight gain, feed intake and feed conversion efficiency. There was better overall better performance of the animal health with nil morbidity and mortality in T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> groups. Based on the results of the present study, inclusion of Roselle (Hibiscus sabdariffa) at 1.5% of feed can be recommended for farmers to achieve better growth rate, desirable feed intake, overall better feed conversion

efficiency, reduced morbidity, mortality rate and maximized profit.

# Reference

- 1. Amer SA, Al-Khalaifah HS, Gouda A, Osman A, Goda NI, Mohammed HA, Darwish MI, Hassan AM, Mohamed SKA. Potential effects of anthocyanin-rich Roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L.) extract on the growth, intestinal histomorphology, blood biochemical parameters, and the immune status of broiler chickens. Antioxidants. 2022;11(3):544.
- Amer T, Rutsa MC, Savino N, Singh R. Performance of Tenyivo pig on diet supplemented with nutgall (*Rhus* samialata) fruit. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2023;12(2):1464-1471.
- 3. Ao X, Meng QW, Kim IS. Effects of fermented red ginseng supplementation on growth performance, apparent nutrient digestibility, blood hematology and meat quality in finishing pigs. Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences. 2011;24(4):525-531.
- 4. Aphirakchatsakun W, Angkanaporn K, Kijparkorn S. The effect of Roselle (*Hibicus sabdariffa* Linn.) calyx as antioxidant and acidifier on growth performance in postweaning pigs. Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences. 2008;21(4):574-581.
- 5. Banik S, Naskar S, Barman K. Smallholder pig production system of Assam. The Indian Journal of Animal Sciences. 2020;90(10):1441–1443.
- 6. Basic Animal Husbandry, Dairying and Fisheries Statistics. New Delhi: Department of Animal Husbandry, Dairying and Fisheries, Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, Government of India; c2021.
- Basumatary R, Naskar S, Kumaresan A, Khargharia G, Kadirvel G, Bardoloi RK. Analysis of mortality pattern among indigenous and upgraded pigs under subtropical hill agro climatic conditions in Eastern Himalayas. Journal of Livestock Science. 2009;123(2-3):169-174.
- Bendangyanger, Sharma VB, Vidyarthi VK, Bora NN, Saharia J, Sarmah BK. Studies on productive traits in indigenous pigs of Nagaland. Indian Veterinary Journal. 2009;86:53-54.
- Bhatt NP, Mohan NH, Sukhdeo. Textbook of pig production. New Delhi: Stadium Press (India) Pvt. Ltd; c2010. p. 1-17.
- 10. Chusi Z, Savino N, Dhali A, Perumal P. Reproductive attributes of local pig (Votho) of Nagaland, India. Indian Journal Animal Research. 2016;50(6):862-866.
- 11. Dandapat A, Choudhury KD, Debbarma C, Das MK. Phenotypic characterization of Mali pig in Tripura, India. Livestock Research for Rural Development, 2010, 22(4).
- 12. Department of Animal Husbandry and Dairying (DAHD). 20<sup>th</sup> Livestock census. New Delhi: Ministry of Fisheries, Animal Husbandry and Dairying, Government of India; c2019.
- 13. Epstein H. The origin of the domestic animals of Africa. 2nd ed. African Publishing; c1971. p. 23.
- Ghimire R, Dhaubhadel T. Pre-weaning mortality of piglets in different seasons. In: Proceedings of the 5<sup>th</sup> National Workshop on Livestock and Fisheries Research in Nepal; c2002. p. 82-89.
- 15. Gokuldas PP, Tamuli MK, Mohan NH, Barman K, Sahoo NR. A comparative analysis of reproductive performance of different pig breeds under intensive management

systems in sub-tropical climate. The Indian Journal of Animal Sciences, 2015, 85(9).

- 16. Gopinathan A, Pusha A. Post weaning production in large white Yorkshire, desi and their crossbreed pigs: economic appraisal. Indian Journal of Animal Research. 2010;44:147-149.
- 17. Islam M. Food and medicinal values of Roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* Linn. malvaceae) plant parts: A review. Open Journal of Nutrition and Food Sciences. 2019;1:14-20.
- Kadirvel G, Banerjee BB, Meitei S, Doley S, Sen A, Muthukumar M. Market potential and opportunities for commercialization of traditional meat products in North East Hill Region of India. Veterinary world. 2018;11(2):118–124.
- 19. Kath KJ, Rahman S, Das SK, Goswami R, Choudhary JK, Tocchawng L, Chutia B. Socio-economic status of Tenyi-vo pig farmers of Nagaland, India. International Journal of Livestock Research. 2019;9(11):196-203.
- Khargharia G. Phenotypic characterization and performance studies of niang megha and doom pigs of north eastern India. Asian Academic Research Journal of Multidisciplinary. 2014;1:667-676.
- 21. Kijparkorn S, Jamikorn U, Wangsoonean S, Ittitanawang P. Antioxidant and acidifier properties of Roselle (*Hibicus sabdariffa* Linn.) calyx powder on lipid peroxidation, nutrient digestibility and growth performance in fattening pigs. The Thai veterinary Medicine. 2009;39(1):41-51.
- 22. Krishnan A, Kumar SG, Venkataramanan R, Balasubramanyam D, Thirunavukkarasu P, Devendran P. Factors influencing mortality of pigs under hot and humid conditions of Tamil Nadu, India. International Journal of Livestock Research. 2020;10(8):82-88.
- 23. Larriestra AJ, Wattanaphansak S, Neumann EJ, Bradford J, Morrison RB, Deen J. Pig characteristics associated with mortality and light exit weight for the nursery phase. The Canadian Veterinary Journal. 2006;47(6):560.
- 24. Lei XJ, Yun HY, Kim IH. Effects of dietary supplementation of natural and fermented herbs on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, blood parameters, meat quality and fatty acid composition in growing-finishing pigs. Italian Journal of Animal Science. 2018;17(4):984-993.
- 25. Liu WC, Pi SH, Kim IH. Effects of Scutellaria baicalensis and Lonicera japonica extract mixture supplementation on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, blood profiles and meat quality in finishing pigs. Italian Journal of Animal Science. 2016;15(3):446-452.
- Moanaro, Ngullie E, Walling I, Krose M, Bhatt BP. Traditional animal husbandry practices in tribal states of Eastern Himalaya, India: A Case Study. Indian J Anim Nutr. 2011;28(1):23-28.
- 27. Mohamed BB, Sulaiman AA, Dahab AA. Roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L.) in Sudan, cultivation and their uses. Bull Environ Pharmacol Life Sci. 2012;1(6):48-54.
- Mirilovic M, Velebit B, Djuric S, Vejnovic B, Dimitrijevic M, Tajdic N, *et al.* Determination of the economic effects in intensive production of piglets. Macedonian Vet Rev. 2016;39:233-238.
- 29. Moeller SJ, Crespo SL. Overview of world swine and pork production. Agric Sci. 2003;1:1-2.
- 30. Mondal SK, De UK, Das GK, Powde AM, Verma AM, Verma AK. Pattern of mortality of crossbreed pigs in an

organized and unorganized farm. J Livest. Sci. 2012;3:37-44.

- 31. Oanh CN, Lam QT, Tien DN, Hornick LJ, Ton DV. Effects of medicinal plants mixture on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, blood profiles, and fecal microbiota in growing pigs. Vet World. 2021;14(7):1894-1900.
- 32. Park K, Han Y, Park K. Effects of herb-mix supplementation on the growth performance and serum growth hormone in weaned pigs. Asian-Australas J Anim. Sci. 2000;13(6):791-794.
- Rutsa MC, Rutsa V. Tenyivo: An indigenous pig germplasm of Nagaland. 1<sup>st</sup> ed. NU-SASRD, Medziphema. Guwahati: Creative Graphics; p. 5-6.
- 34. Shyam J, Tripathi H, Balaraju L. Economic contribution of backyard piggery in the livelihood security of tribal families of Assam. Int. J Livest. Res. 2017;7(2):135-143.
- 35. Singh M, Mollier R, Phurailatpam R. Reproductive attributes of Hampshire, Gunghroo, Large Black and Tenyi Vo (local Naga Pig) under intensive management system in subtropical condition of Nagaland. Indian J Anim Sci. 2020;90(6):934-936.
- Singh M, Mollier TR, Rajkhowa DJ. A way forward for revitalizing pig farming in Nagaland. Indian Farming. 2020;70(6):23-26.
- 37. Singh M, Pongener N, Mollier TR, Kadirvel G. Balance sheet of pork production and consumption in Nagaland: Implications for strengthening of pork value chain. Indian J Anim Sci. 2021;91(4):313-317.
- Snedecor GW, Cochran WG. Statistical Methods. 8<sup>th</sup> ed. New Delhi: Oxford and IBH Pub. Co; c1994.
- 39. Thong S, Rutsa MC, Zuyie R, Vidyarthi VK. Effect of weaning age on the production performance of up-graded Tenyivo piglets. Int. J Livest. Res. 2020;7(2):135-143.
- Zhou TX, Zhang ZF, Kim IH. Effects of dietary Coptis Chinensis herb extract on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, blood characteristics and meat quality in growing-finishing pigs. Asian-Aust. J Anim. Sci. 2013;26(1):108-15.