

ISSN: 2456-2912 VET 2024; 9(1): 1150-1154 © 2024 VET www.veterinarypaper.com Received: 03-11-2023 Accepted: 08-12-2023

Panchasheel MVSc, KVAFSU, Bidar, Karnataka, India

Vivek R Kasaralikar Professor and Head Veterinary Medicine, KVAFSU, Bidar, Karnataka, India

NA Patil Director of Extension, KVAFSU, Bidar, Karnataka, India

Santosh P Sarangamath Professor and Head, Veterinary Clinical Complex, KVAFSU, Bidar, Karnataka, India

Sandeep Halmandge Professor and Head, Veterinary Medicine, KVAFSU, Bidar, Karnataka, India

#### Kartik Bidri

Assistant Professor, Veterinary Surgery and Radiology, KVAFSU, Bidar, Karnataka, India

Corresponding Author: Panchasheel MVSc, KVAFSU, Bidar, Karnataka, India International Journal of Veterinary Sciences and Animal Husbandry



# Effect of ruminal acidosis on clinical, ruminal and hematological parameters in goats

# Panchasheel, Vivek R Kasaralikar, NA Patil, Santosh P Sarangamath, Sandeep Halmandge and Kartik Bidri

#### Abstract

The present study was undertaken to find out the changes in clinical, ruminal fluid and hematological parameters in Ruminal acidosis Goats presented to Veterinary College, Bidar. In the present study, 18 goats presented to outpatient ward, suffering from acute ruminal acidosis (based on ruminal pH less than 5.5) were selected. The important clinical signs observed in majority of the affected goats were complete anorexia, depressed attitude, abdominal distension with fluid flashing sounds, diarrhea, regurgitation and sternal recumbency. There was no significant variation found in rectal temperature whereas There was significant increase in the mean heart rate and respiration rate in all the affected animals compared to apparently healthy animals. The chemical properties of rumen liquor showed reduced pH, absence of live protozoa, raised MBRT values with increased per cent of Gram +ve bacteria and decreased per cent of Gram –ve bacteria in the rumen liquor in all the affected goats. Among all the haematological parameters Hb, PCV, TEC and TLC values were significantly increased in all the affected goats and DLC showed no significant variation in the values of Lymphocytes, Neutrophils, Monocytes and Eosinophils.

Keywords: Ruminal acidosis, goat, rumen fluid, MBRT, hematological

## Introduction

Ruminal lactic acidosis is a carbohydrate fermentation disorder of the rumen that can affect any ruminant species including goats. Acidosis is caused by the feeding of highly fermentable carbohydrates, feeding of low fibre diet, poor management practices or a combination of these. Manifestations of acidosis vary from a slight drop in feed intake (mild) to death (severe). Acute form of the disease in goats is characterized by indigestion, rumen stasis, dehydration, abdominal distension, diarrhea, toxaemia, acidosis incoordination, collapse and frequently death in untreated cases. Systemic changes occurring during lactic acidosis require greater understanding for proper treatment and preventive measures to reduce the risk of grain engorgement in ruminants. Clinical, ruminal and Hematological changes in ruminal acidosis are important to assess the severity of the disease. Severe dehydration and cardiovascular involvement are common (Shihabudeen et al., 2003)<sup>[27]</sup> in addition to biochemical changes (Sarma and Nath, 2005) <sup>[24]</sup>. The most common carbohydrate rich easily digestible feedstuffs easily accessible to goats are ragi, rice, wheat, jowar, grams and other fruits and vegetables and ingestion of which accidentally by the animal would be disastrous on their health and production. Hence, the present study was undertaken to study the changes related to clinical, ruminal and hematological parameters in ruminal acidotic goats.

## **Materials and Methods**

The study was conducted at Department of Veterinary Medicine, Veterinary College, Bidar and APMC hospital (VHDD&IC) Bidar for a period of two years from October 2011 to June 2013. Six clinical cases of acute ruminal acidosis were selected for the present study and Six healthy goats from the herds of small farmers nearby Veterinary College, Bidar were used for studying normal parameters and kept as control Group. The temperature, heart rate and respiration rate were recorded in all the goats diagnosed for ruminal acidosis. The respiration rate was calculated by counting the movements of the rib cage and abdomen (Chakrabarti, 2006)<sup>[4]</sup> and represented in breaths/ minutes.

The rectal temperature of the animal was measured with a digital clinical thermometer by gently inserting the thermometer into rectum in rotatory manner. The rectal temperature was recorded after two minutes (Chakrabarti, 2006)<sup>[4]</sup>. The recordings were mentioned in <sup>0</sup>F.

The heart rate was recorded with the help of stethoscope at 3rd to 5th left intercostal space. The heart rate was measured in beats/minute. 2 ml of blood for hematological examination was collected from Jugular vein in a EDTA vial and Haematological parameters such as Total erythrocyte count (TEC), Total leucocyte count (TLC), haemoglobin (Hb), Packed cell volume (PCV) and Differential leucocyte count (DLC) were estimated as described by (Schlam *et al.*, 1976) <sup>[25]</sup>.

The collection of rumen fluid was done with the help of rumen fluid collection apparatus (Plate - 1, 2). And it was transferred to laboratory for carrying out the routine rumen fluid examination. The physical examination of rumen fluid such as the colour, consistency and odour of rumen fluid were evaluated as described by Gnanaprakasam (1970)<sup>[11]</sup>. The pH of ruminal fluid was estimated immediately after collection with the help of digital pH meter (Plate - 8) and was expressed in single decimal (viz., 7.1, 7.2....). The Gram positive to gram Negative ratio of ruminal bacteria was done by making a smear stained with Gram's staining and around 100 bacteria were counted and Gram + ve to Gram - ve ratio was noted (Rogosa, 1964) [23] and was expressed in per cent value. Total protozoal count was estimated as per the method described by Moir (1951)<sup>[15]</sup>. Methylene blue reduction time of rumen fluid was estimated by adding 1.0 ml of 0.03 per cent Methylene blue dye to 20ml of rumen fluid. Time taken for the dye to get reduced was taken as Methylene blue reduction time (Smith, 1996) [28] and was expressed in minutes.

The values were expressed in minutes. Statistical analysis of data was carried out by employing paired "t" test and ANOVA, as per Snedecor and Cochran (1994)<sup>[29]</sup>.

## **Results and Discussions**

Important outcome of the present study was recording the frequency of clinical signs in acidosis affected goats which indicated that complete anorexia (94.45%), depressed attitude (88.89%) and abdominal distension with fluid flashing sounds (83.34%) and tachycardia (83.34%). Diarrhoea with pasty sour smelling faeces (50%), regurgitation of ruminal contents (33.34%) and sternal recumbency (11.12%) were also observed in affected goats. Signs of profound dullness, recumbency, polypnoea, tachycardia in ruminal acidosis had been attributed to the toxaemia associated with distention of abdomen. Regurgitation of ruminal contents and fluid splashing sounds on abdominal ballottement were due to accumulation of fluid in rumen. Similar clinical findings in acidotic goats were also observed by Tanwar and Mathur (1983) <sup>[30]</sup>, Darwin et al. (2007a) <sup>[5]</sup>, Sharma et al. (2010) <sup>[26]</sup>, Tufani et al. (2013) [31] and Ullah et al. (2013) [32]. Hyperosmolality of ruminal content due to increased lactic acid concentration attracts extra cellular fluid in to rumen, is the important reason for over distention of abdomen in animals affected with ruminal acidosis (Dunlop, 1965 and Radostits et al., 2007) [9, 21].

Rectal temperature  $(101.22\pm0.30^{\circ}\text{F})$  in this study revealed no significant difference in between the affected and healthy goats, this observation suggested that body temperature was not affected but fluctuated within the normal physiological limits which is in agreement with the findings of Braun *et al.* 

(1992)<sup>[3]</sup> and Sharma et al. (2010)<sup>[26]</sup>. On the contrary Ullah et al. (2013) [32] observed significant decrease in rectal temperature affected goats. The in heart rate (127.50±3.18/min) in acidotic goats was significantly increased compared to healthy goats, these findings are in accordance with the observations of Tanwar and Mathur (1983) <sup>[30]</sup>, Braun et al. (1992) <sup>[3]</sup>, Patra et al. (1996) <sup>[18]</sup> Metkari et al. (2001) [14] and Tufani et al. (2013) [31]. Tachycardia, recorded in ruminal acidosis could be in response to ongoing dehydration, systemic absorption of lactic acid and low circulatory plasma volume (Radostits et al., 2000)<sup>[20]</sup>. There was significant increase in respiration rate (54.50±2.29/min) of all the affected goats compared to healthy animals, which was also observed by Tanwar and Mathur (1983) <sup>[30]</sup>, Radostits et al. (2007) <sup>[21]</sup>, Tufani et al. (2013) <sup>[31]</sup> and Ullah et al. (2013) <sup>[32]</sup>. Huber (1976) <sup>[12]</sup> attributed elevation of respiratory rate due to stimulation of respiratory Centre by increased carbon dioxide tension of blood and decreased blood pH.

## Rumen fluid analysis

The physical examination of the ruminal fluid of the affected goats revealed milky white color with watery consistency and sour odour. similar changes were reported by Braun *et al.* (1992) <sup>[3]</sup>, Basak *et al.* (1993) <sup>[2]</sup>, Metkari *et al.* (2001) <sup>[14]</sup>, Kasaralikar *et al.* (2007) <sup>[13]</sup>, Darwin *et al.* (2007b) <sup>[6]</sup>, Rahima *et al.* (2012) <sup>[22]</sup> and Tufani *et al.* (2013) <sup>[31]</sup>.

# Chemical changes in ruminal fluid

The chemical changes observed in the ruminal fluid of affected goats were significant decrease in pH of the rumen fluid (5.13±0.14), which has been attributed to increased production of lactic acid by Gram +ve bacteria which predominate in acidic pH. Similar changes were also reported by Kasaralikar *et al.* (2007)<sup>[13]</sup>, Padmaja and Praveena (2011) <sup>[16]</sup>, Tufani et al. (2013) <sup>[31]</sup> and Ullah et al. (2013) <sup>[32]</sup>. The time taken for Methylene blue reduction test (MBRT) (55.00±1.86/min) was significantly increased in all the affected animals compare to healthy animals. The total protozoal count in the rumen fluid of affected goats was totally nil. Sensitivity of ruminal protozoa to the change in ruminal pH is well documented and their number declines as the pH falls below 6. Absence of protozoa in ruminal fluid with  $pH \le 5.5$  has been reported by earlier workers viz., Garry (1990) <sup>[10]</sup>, Padmaja and Praveena (2011) <sup>[16]</sup>, Rahima et al. (2012)<sup>[22]</sup>, Tufani et al. (2013)<sup>[31]</sup> and Ullah et al. (2013)<sup>[32]</sup>. The present study observed more number of gram positive bacteria as compared to gram negative bacteria in rumen liquor of all the acidotic goats. Similar results were observed by Desai et al. (1999)<sup>[7]</sup>, Padmaja and Praveena (2011)<sup>[16]</sup>, Arora et al. (2011)<sup>[1]</sup> and Tufani et al. (2013)<sup>[31]</sup> in ruminal acidosis.

## Hematological changes

The study revealed significant increase in Haemoglobin, Packed cell volume and Total erythrocyte count in the blood of acidosis affected goats. Similar hematological changes were reported by Shihabudhin *et al.* (2003) <sup>[27]</sup>, Sarma and Nath (2005) <sup>[24]</sup>, Sharma *et al.* (2010) <sup>[26]</sup> and Tufani *et al.* (2013) <sup>[31]</sup>. It could be due to haemoconcentration as a result of mild (4-6%) to severe (up to 10-12%) dehydration following drawing of systemic fluid in the rumen and profuse diarrhoea (Radostits *et al.*, 2000) <sup>[20]</sup>. The total leucocyte count showed significant increase in acidotic goats compare to healthy goats. Similar results were also observed by Parrah *et al.* (2010) <sup>[17]</sup> and Sharma *et al.* (2010) <sup>[26]</sup>. Dunlop (1971) <sup>[8]</sup> suggested that the elevated TLC in ruminal acidosis could be due to release of endotoxins in rumen. Lymphocyte,

Neutrophils, Monocytes and Eosinophils counts in the affected goats were within the physiological limits.

Fable 1:	Frequency of	of predominant	clinical signs in a	acute ruminal acidosis in goats	
----------	--------------	----------------	---------------------	---------------------------------	--

			(n=18)
Sl. No.	Clinical signs	No. of acidotic goats showing the respective clinical signs	Frequency of predominant clinical signs in Percentage
1	Complete anorexia	17	(94.45%)
2	Depression	16	(88.89%)
3	Abdominal distension	15	(83.34%)
4	Tachycardia	15	(83.34%)
5	Diarrhoea	9	(50.00%)
6	Regurgitation	6	(33.34%)
7	Recumbency	2	(11.12%)



Fig 1: Prominent distension of left flank in a clinical case of acute ruminal acidosis

Table 2: Clinical, Rumen liquor and Hematological values in healthy (n=6) and Ruminal acidosis Goats

	-		(n=18)			
Parameters	Unit	Goats affected wit Ruminal Acidosis (n=18)	Apparently Healthy Goats (n=6)			
		Clinical				
Rectal Temperature	<sup>0</sup> F	101.22±0.30ª	101.55±0.41ª			
Heart rate	Beats/min	127.50±3.18ª	75.83±0.65 <sup>b</sup>			
Respiration rate	Breaths/min	54.50±2.29 <sup>a</sup>	38.83±3.33 <sup>b</sup>			
Rumen liquor						
Ruminal pH		5.13±0.14 <sup>a</sup>	$6.67 \pm 0.05^{b}$			
MBRT	Minutes	$55.00 \pm 1.86^{a}$	8.17±0.48 <sup>b</sup>			
SAT	Minutes	Absent	10.17±0.45			
TPC	×10 <sup>5</sup> /ml	Nil	1.03±0.18			
Gram +ve Bacteria	%	77.33±4.20ª	27.01±1.15 <sup>b</sup>			
Gram -ve Bacteria	%	$22.67 \pm 4.20^{a}$	74.50±1.20 <sup>b</sup>			
		Hematological				
Hb	Gm%	12.10±0.22ª	9.43±0.17 <sup>b</sup>			
PCV	%	44.50±0.65ª	28.57±0.37 <sup>b</sup>			
TLC	×10 <sup>3</sup> /µl	15.53±0.42ª	12.01±0.62 <sup>b</sup>			
TEC	×10 <sup>6</sup> /µl	17.39±0.33ª	11.56±0.32 <sup>b</sup>			
Lympocytes	%	70.33±0.49ª	70.50±0.43ª			
Neutrophils	%	24.17±0.31ª	23.33±0.33ª			
Monocytes	%	$2.50\pm0.45^{a}$	3.00±0.37ª			
Eosinophils	%	2.33±0.56ª	2.83±0.42 <sup>a</sup>			

Value bearing different superscripts (a, b) within a row differ significantly (p<0.05)



Fig 2: Diarrhea seen in a clinical case of ruminal acidosis in a goat.



Fig 3: Collection of rumen liquor in an acidotic goat at TVCC, Veterinary College, Bidar



Fig 4: Collection of rumen liquor in an acidotic goat at field level



Fig 5: Milky white coloured rumen liquor collected in a clinical case of acute ruminal acidosis in goat



Fig 6: Acidic pH of rumen liquor in a clinical case of acute ruminal acidosis



Fig 7: Acidotic goat in recumbent position on the day of presentation

#### Conclusion

From the above study it can be concluded that, The clinical signs like - complete anorexia, distended abdomen with fluid flashing sound, tachycardia were more frequent and the significant changes with respect to heart rate, respiration rate, the physico-chemical properties of rumen liquor and hematological parameters in the ruminal acidosis affected goats, could be helpful in diagnosing and assessing the prognosis of the case.

## References

- 1. Arora N, Tufani NA, Kumar T. Grain overload in a Buffalo and its Therapeutic management. Intas Polivet. 2011;12(II): 315-317.
- 2. Basak DN, Pan S, Chakrabarti A. Physico-chemical and microbial changes in rumen liquor of experimentally induced lactic acidosis in goats. Indian Journal of Animal Sciences. 1993;63(3):263-267.
- Braun U, Rihs T, Schefer U. Ruminal lactic acidosis in sheep and goats. Veterinary Record. 1992;130(16):343-349.
- Chakrabarti A. Textbook of Clinical Veterinary Medicine. 4th edn. Kalyani publishers, Ludhiana. c2006. p. 33-34
- 5. Darwin L. Suresh RV, Thangathurai R, Dhanapalan P. Retrospective Study on Ruminal Acidosis in Goats. Indian Veterinary Journal. 2007a;84:432-433.
- Darwin L, Suresh RV, Thangathurai R, Dhanapalan P. Rumen Liquor Profile Examination in Rumen Acidosis in Goats. Indian Veterinary Journal. 2007b;84:882-883.
- Desai VF, Bijwal DL, Mode SG, Sadekar SZ, Dhore RN. Rumen liquor profile following rumen lactic acidosis in calves. Indian Journal of Animal Sciences. 1999;69(8):586-587.
- 8. Dunlop RW. Pathogenesis of ruminant lactic acidosis. Advances in Veterinary Science and Comparative Medicine. 1971;16: 289-302.
- Dunlop RH and Hammond PB. D-lactic acidosis of ruminants. Annals of New York Academy of Science. 1965;119:1109.
- 10. Garry F. Diagnosing and treating indigestion caused by fermentative disorders. Symposium on bovine digestive disorders, Veterinary Medicine. 1990;85:660.
- 11. Gnanaprakasam V. Rumen acidosis in goats. Indian Veterinary Journal. 1970;47:904-910.
- 12. Huber TL. Physiological effects of acidosis on feedlot cattle. Journal of Animal Science. 1976;3:902-909.
- 13. Kasaralikar VR, Singari NA, Hafiz MD, Prasad PE, Kumar SP. Alterations in ruminal fluid and blood in acute ruminal acidosis of goats. Indian Journal of Veterinary Medicine. 2007;27:111-114.
- 14. Metkari SM, Ali S, Rajguru DN, Saleem M. Management of experimentally induced lactic acidosis in goats. Indian Veterinary Journal. 2001;78(8):692-694.
- 15. Moir RJ. The seasonal variation in the ruminal microorganisms of grazing sheep. Australian Journal of Agriculture Research. 1951;27:322.
- 16. Padmaja K, Praveena G. Rumen acidosis in goats. Intas Polivet. 2011;12(II):318-319.
- Parrah JD, Moulvi BA, Malik HU, Buchoo and Bilal S. A clinical study on ruminal acidosis in cattle. Indian Journal of Veterinary Medicine. 2010;30(2):134-135
- 18. Patra RC, Lal SB, Swarup D. Biochemical profile of rumen liquor, blood and urine in experimental acidosis in

sheep. Small ruminant research (ELSEVIER). 1996;19:177-180.

- Kumar PR, Verma SP, Agrawal AK, Jayachandran C. Effect of severity of acidosis on ruminal activity in goats. Indian Journal of Animal Research. 2007;41(4):256-260.
- Radostits OM, Gay CC, Blood DC, Hichcliff KW. Veterinary Medicine. Edn. 9th., Book Power, Elsevier Science Limited, London (UK); c2000. p. 284-292.
- Radostits OM, Gay CC, Hinchcliff KW, Constable PD. Veterinary Medicine A Text Book of Disease of Cattle, horses, Sheep, Pig and Goats. 10th Edn; c2007. p. 318-321.
- 22. Rahima A, Nambi AP, Vijaykumar G, Vairamuthu S. Efficacy Of haemodialysis in goats affected with acute ruminal acidosis. Indian Veterinary Journal. 2012;89(6):128-130.
- Rogosa M. The genus Veillonella. I. General, cultural, ecological and biochemical consideration. Journal of Bacteriollgy. 1964;1:162: 170.
- 24. Sarma S, Nath R. Studies on rumen acidosis in goats and efficacy of treatment. Intas Polivet. 2005;6:64-65.
- 25. Schlam OW, Jain NC, Caroll EJ. Veterinary haematology. III edn. Lea and Febiger, Philadelphia; c1976. p. 134-136.
- Sharma AK, Choudhary CK, Kumar A, Kumar H, Yadav S. Lactic acidosis in goats. Indian Veterinary Journal. 2010;87:1148-1150.
- 27. Shihabudeen PK, Pillai UN, Ajitkumar S, Alex PCN. Haematological changes in experimental ruminal acidosis. Indian Journal of Veterinary Medicine. 2003;23:93-95.
- 28. Smith BP. Large animal Internal Medicine. The C.V. Mosby Company, St. Louis, Missouri; c1996.
- 29. Snedecor GW, Cochran WG. Statistical Methods. VIII edn. Affiliated East - West Press, Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi; c1994.
- Tanwar RK, Mathur PD. Studies on clinical manifestations and therapy of experimentally induced acid indigestion in goats. Indian Journal of Veterinary Medicine. 1983;3:15-20.
- Tufani NA, Makhdoomi DM, Hafiz A. Rumen acidosis in small ruminants and its management. Iranian Journal of Applied Animal Science. 2013;3(1):19-24.
- 32. Ullah HA, Khan JA, Khan MS, Sadique U, Shah M, Idrees M *et al.* Clinico-therapeutical trials of Lactic Acidosis in Small Ruminants. The Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences. 2013;23(1):80-83.