



ISSN: 2456-2912

VET 2021; 6(4): 53-57

© 2021 VET

[www.veterinarypaper.com](http://www.veterinarypaper.com)

Received: 25-05-2021

Accepted: 27-06-2021

**MST. Mayeeda Parvin**

Department of Poultry Science,  
Sher-E-Bangla Agricultural  
University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

**MD. Anwarul Haque Beg**

Department of Poultry Science,  
Sher-E-Bangla Agricultural  
University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

**Maksuda Begum**

Department of Poultry Science,  
Sher-E-Bangla Agricultural  
University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

**Mohammad Saiful Islam**

Department of Anatomy,  
Histology and Physiology, Sher-  
E-Bangla Agricultural  
University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

**MD. Zahir Uddin Rubel**

Department of Poultry Science,  
Sher-E-Bangla Agricultural  
University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

**MD. Shafiqur Rahman**

Department of Animal  
Nutrition, Genetics and  
Breeding, Sher-E-Bangla  
Agricultural University, Dhaka,  
Bangladesh

**Corresponding Author:**

**Maksuda Begum**

Department of Poultry Science,  
Sher-E-Bangla agricultural  
University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

## Effects of using garlic (*Allium sativum*) and turmeric (*Curcuma longa*) powder on production performance and biochemical parameters of broiler chicken

**MST. Mayeeda Parvin, MD. Anwarul Haque Beg, Maksuda Begum, Mohammad Saiful Islam, Md. Zahir Uddin Rubel and Md. Shafiqur Rahman**

### Abstract

The goal of this study was to determine the effects of dietary supplementation of garlic (*Allium sativum*) powder (GP) and turmeric (*Curcuma longa*) powder (TP) as an alternative to antibiotic on production performance, biochemical parameters and economic utility of broiler chicken rearing. A total of 300 Commercial broiler chicks of Cobb-500 strain randomly divided into 5 treatment groups viz. T<sub>0</sub> (Control), T<sub>1</sub> (antibiotic), T<sub>2</sub> (GP 0.5%), T<sub>3</sub> (TP 0.5%) and T<sub>4</sub> (GP 0.25% & TP 0.25%) having three replications consisting of 20 chicks in each. In this study, feed consumption (FC), live weight (LW) and FCR showed insignificant ( $P>0.05$ ) difference among the treatments. Abdominal fat (%) significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) lower in T<sub>3</sub> group compared to control group. Significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) higher dressing percentage found in T<sub>3</sub> group compared to T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> groups. Significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) lower concentration of serum glucose found in T<sub>3</sub> group compared to T<sub>0</sub> and T<sub>1</sub> group. Significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) lower concentration of cholesterol found in all treated groups compared to control group. Total cost of production per broiler in T<sub>1</sub> (antibiotic group) was significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) highest as compared to other treated groups. Total income, net profit and BCR per bird was comparatively ( $P>0.05$ ) highest in T<sub>3</sub> group. Analyzing the above factor, the performance of T<sub>3</sub> group was better as a replacement of antibiotic. Birds fed 0.5% turmeric powder supplemented diet achieved superior result due to turmeric has ability to increase dressing percentage, reduce abdominal fat (%), glucose, cholesterol and reduce total cost of production.

**Keywords:** dressing percentage, abdominal fat, biochemical parameter, garlic, turmeric

### Introduction

Over the past few decades, the use of antibiotic as growth promoters in poultry nutrition has been associated with fast growing nature of broiler chickens. Due to global threat of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) and increasing treatment failures, the non-therapeutic use of antibiotics in animal production has been banned in some countries (Cogliani *et al.*, 2011) [8]. For this reason, Phyto-genic or natural feed additives derived from herbs, spices, other plants and their extracts used in poultry nutrition. In present study garlic and turmeric was used as a replacement of antibiotic. Garlic (*Allium sativum*) have been widely used as herbal supplement in broiler chicken diet because of its strong stimulating effect on the immune system and the very rich aromatic oils which enhance feed digestion (Gardzielewska *et al.*, 2003) [14]. It contained abundant bioactive components like sulfur containing compounds (alliin, diallylsulfides and allicin, ajoene) which act as antimicrobial (Gebreyohannes and Gebreyohannes, 2013; Jabar and Al-Mossawi, 2007) [15, 17]. Turmeric (*Curcuma longa*) can be a useful natural growth promoter and safe alternative to antibiotics (Khan *et al.*, 2012) [21]. Active component name of turmeric is curcumin (Al-Mashhadani, 2015) [24] and it range from 2 to 5% of the turmeric (Bagchi, 2012) [5]. Curcumin also possesses anti-inflammatory immunomodulatory and hepatoprotective properties (Daneshyar *et al.*, 2011 and Rajput *et al.*, 2013) [9, 28]. Considering the above factor, present study was designed to find the effect of garlic and turmeric powder on growth performance, carcass characteristics, biochemical properties of broiler chicken and also investigated the economic impact as a replacement of antibiotic.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1 Statement of the experiment

The research was conducted in the experimental trial shed at Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University Poultry Farm, Dhaka, with 300-day-old (Cobb 500) straight run commercial broiler chicks for a period of 28 days.

### 2.2 Collection of experimental birds and diets

300-day-old Cobb 500 straight run commercial broiler chicks were collected from a renowned hatchery. They were kept in electric brooders equally and randomly distributed into five groups with three replicates of 20 birds in each. Garlic cloves & fresh turmeric rhizome were cleaned and dried sufficiently. Then turmeric and garlic powder was prepared by fine grinding and passing through 1 mm sieve. These powder were incorporated into the experimental diets to assess the feasibility of using garlic powder (GP), turmeric powder (TP) & their combination.

### 2.3 Treatment plan

T<sub>0</sub> = Basal diets (Control)

T<sub>1</sub> = Basal diets + Antibiotics (Doxivet<sup>®</sup> - 1g/2 litre of drinking water)

T<sub>2</sub> = Basal diets + 0.5% Garlic Powder

T<sub>3</sub> = Basal diets + 0.5% Turmeric powder

T<sub>4</sub> = Basal diets + 0.25% Garlic Powder & 0.25% Turmeric powder

### 2.4 Management

Birds were raised in a well-ventilated broiler shed. Rice husk was used as litter at a depth of 6cm. clean tap water was offered *ad libitum* and feed were measured and offered twice a day. Feed ingredients and nutrient composition of the basal diet are presented in Table 1. Vaccination of birds were done routinely against infectious Bronchitis, Newcastle and Gambaro diseases.

**Table 1:** Feed ingredients and nutrient composition of starter and grower ration.

Ingredients	Starter Phase Day 1 to 14	Grower Phase Day 15 to 28
Corn,7.4% CP	53.8	56.76
Soybean meal, 44.5% CP	38.79	35.12
Soybean oil (%)	2.3	3.28
Oyster shell (%)	1.58	1.5
Sodium bicarbonate (%)	0.19	0.17
Dicalcium phosphate (%)	2.02	1.9
Salt (NaCl) (%)	0.2	0.23
Vitamin premix*	0.25	0.25
Mineral premix**	0.25	0.25
DL-Methionine (%)	0.35	0.33
L-Lysine HCl (%)	0.2	0.16
L- Threonine (%)	0.07	0.05
<b>Chemical Composition</b>		
ME (Kcal/Kg)	2900	3000
CP (%)	22.1	20.69
Methionine (%)	0.65	0.9
Lysine (%)	1.26	1.23
Methionine + Cysteine (%)	0.9	0.82
Calcium (%)	0.92	0.84
Available phosphorus (%)	0.41	0.38

\*Supplied per kilogram of diet: Vitamin A 10,000 IU, Vitamin D<sub>3</sub> 2,000 IU, Vitamin E 10mg, Vitamin K 20mg, Vitamin B<sub>1</sub> 2mg, Vitamin B<sub>2</sub> 10mg, Vitamin B<sub>3</sub> 15mg, Vitamin B<sub>6</sub> 300mg, Vitamin B<sub>5</sub> 10mg, Vitamin B<sub>8</sub> 5mg, Vitamin B<sub>9</sub> 2500mg.

\*\*Supplied per kilogram of diet: Manganese 500mg, Iron 250mg, Iodine 10mg, Zinc 600mg, Copper 100mg, Selenium 1mg and Cobalt 1mg

### 2.5 Data collection

Weekly live weight and weekly feed consumption was recorded and final live weight and feed consumption was calculated. FCR was calculated from final live weight and total feed consumption per bird in each replication. Mortality was recorded every day to find out the final livability rate. At end of the experiment after 28 days three birds were sacrificed from each replication. After slaughtering gizzard, liver, heart weight were measured from each broiler chicken and calculated their percentage according to live weight of bird. Dressing yield was calculated to find out dressing percentage.

### 2.6 Serum biochemical parameters

At end of the experiment 28 days, 2 mL of blood was collected via the wing vein from 2 birds in each replication of the treatment group. For the collection of serum blood samples were centrifuged. After separation of serum these were transferred into sterilized 0.5 ml serum cups. For measuring biochemical parameters (glucose and total cholesterol) using commercial kits.

### 2.7 Economic analysis

To find out the economic feasibility of different dietary groups calculating total cost and total income. The total cost was calculated by considering individual cost included feed cost and cost of dietary supplementation and common cost included sum price of DOC, litter, vaccine, medicine and others. The selling price of per kg bird was considered to calculate the total return and net profit of bird. Benefit cost ratio (BCR) was calculated through dividing the total income by the total cost of production. All expenses and income were calculated on the basis of market value (BDT) at the time of experimental period.

### 2.8 Statistical analysis

One-way ANOVA procedure of SPSS (version-16) software was used to analyze the data collected on various parameters. Duncan's multiple range test was used to analyze Differences between means and statistical differences declared at  $P < 0.05$ .

## 3. Results and Discussion

### 3.1 Total feed consumption

Data presented in Table 2 showed that the effect of treatments on total feed consumption (g/bird) were not significantly ( $P > 0.05$ ) differ among the dietary groups. The birds of T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> groups consumed higher feed than T<sub>1</sub> group. However, highest feed intake found in 0.5% TP treated T<sub>3</sub> (2321.67g) group compared to other groups. Concomitant to the results Kyaw *et al.* (2017) [23] and Karangiya *et al.* (2016) [19] they reported that feed intake in control and 1% garlic powder group was similar and did not differ significantly. Ahmed *et al.* (2018) [11], Mondal *et al.* (2015) [25] found that the average feed consumption of broiler chick non-significantly ( $P > 0.05$ ) improved due to turmeric supplementation in the diets.

### 3.2 Final live weight

Data presented in Table 2 showed that the effect of treatments on final live weight (g/bird) were not significantly ( $P > 0.05$ ) differ among the dietary groups. However, highest ( $P > 0.05$ ) live weight was attained in T<sub>3</sub> (1625.67g) group among the treatment groups, whereas lowest in T<sub>0</sub> (1550.27g) group. Concomitant to the present findings Kyaw *et al.* (2017) [23] (1%), Issa and Abo Omar (2012) [16] (0.2% and 0.4%) they observed that supplementation of garlic did not significantly ( $P > 0.05$ ) affect the body weight. Sharma *et al.* (2015) [31] and Al-Mashhadani (2015) [24] who reported that supplementation

of turmeric powder in the basal diet of broiler chicken improved ( $P>0.05$ ) final body weight of broiler chicken.

**Table 2:** Effect of dietary supplementation of garlic & turmeric powder on Production performance of broiler chicken

Parameters	Total Feed Consumption (g/bird)	Final Live Weight (g/bird)	FCR	Livability (%)
T <sub>0</sub>	2297.80±24.74	1550.27±16.28	1.48 ± 0.02	100 ± 0.00
T <sub>1</sub>	2283.43±19.48	1590.10±26.03	1.44 ± 0.03	100 ± 0.00
T <sub>2</sub>	2299.67±12.78	1574.63±43.25	1.46 ± 0.04	100 ± 0.00
T <sub>3</sub>	2321.67±20.63	1625.67±12.57	1.43 ± 0.02	100 ± 0.00
T <sub>4</sub>	2292.67±31.29	1580.73±34.49	1.45 ± 0.02	100 ± 0.00
Mean ± SE	2299.25±9.17	1584.28±12.72	1.45 ± 0.01	100 ± 0.00

Here, T<sub>0</sub> = (Control), T<sub>1</sub> = (Antibiotic), T<sub>2</sub> = (0.5% Garlic Powder), T<sub>3</sub> = (0.5% Turmeric powder) and T<sub>4</sub> = (0.25% Garlic Powder & 0.25% Turmeric powder).

### 3.3 Feed conversion ratio

The effect of treatments on FCR of broiler chicken was not significant ( $P>0.05$ ) presented in table 2. However, numerically improved FCR was found in 0.5%TP treated T<sub>3</sub> (1.43) group followed by other groups. These findings were well corroborated with the observation of Karim *et al.* (2017)<sup>[20]</sup> and Fadlalla *et al.* (2010)<sup>[12]</sup> they reported that garlic had no significant effect on the feed conversion ratio of birds. Present findings showed that at the end of 28 days of experiment best FCR found in 0.5% TP treated group than control and other groups. In harmony with the present results Fallah and Mirzaei (2016)<sup>[13]</sup> and Kafi *et al.* (2017)<sup>[18]</sup> who had reported that positive effects of turmeric powder supplementation on feed conversion efficiency in broiler chicken birds.

### 3.4 Livability

The livability rate showed on Table 2, different groups were not significantly ( $P>0.05$ ) different and all the groups were showed livability 100% and the reason might be proper

biosecurity management. These findings were well corroborated with the observation of Borgohain *et al.* (2019)<sup>[6]</sup> and Choudhury *et al.* (2018)<sup>[7]</sup>.

### 3.5 Carcass characteristics

Table 3 revealed that, the percentage of liver, heart and gizzard were not significantly ( $P>0.05$ ) differ among the treatment groups. These findings were in line with Karim *et al.* (2017)<sup>[20]</sup> and Sangilimadan *et al.* (2019)<sup>[30]</sup> they noted that garlic had non-significant effect on (Liver, Gizzard, and Heart weight). In harmony with the present results Shohe *et al.* (2019)<sup>[3]</sup> and Mondal *et al.* (2015)<sup>[25]</sup> explained that the values for the organ (Liver, Gizzard, and Heart) weight (g) did not vary between control and turmeric treated groups.

Different treatment groups (Table 3) showed significant ( $P<0.05$ ) effect on percentage of abdominal fat of broiler chicken. Abdominal fat (%) significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) lower in 0.5% TP (1.24 %) treated group compared to control (1.62 %) group. These present findings were in agreement with Adjei *et al.* (2015)<sup>[11]</sup> and Rahimi *et al.* (2011)<sup>[27]</sup> they observed that relative weights of the abdominal fat were not affected by garlic treatments. Present findings indicated that turmeric supplementation of broiler diets has the potential to reduce this type of waste by reducing abdominal fat content. Similar result observed by Yesuf *et al.* (2017)<sup>[33]</sup>, Rajput *et al.* (2013)<sup>[28]</sup> and Wang *et al.* (2015)<sup>[32]</sup>.

Table 3 also revealed that, significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) higher dressing percentage found in T<sub>3</sub> (71.82 %) group compared to T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> groups. But, T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> had insignificant ( $P>0.05$ ) different among them. Borgohain *et al.* (2019)<sup>[6]</sup> and El-katcha *et al.* (2016)<sup>[10]</sup> they reported that dressing percentage did not differ significantly due to inclusion of garlic powder. Mondal *et al.* (2015)<sup>[25]</sup> and Arslan *et al.* (2017)<sup>[4]</sup> found that supplementation of TP significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) improved dressing percentage.

**Table 3:** Effect of dietary supplementation of garlic & turmeric powder to broiler diets on carcass characteristics

Parameters	Liver %	Heart %	Gizzard %	Abdominal Fat Percentage (%)	Dressing Percentage (%)
T <sub>0</sub>	2.44 ± 0.01	0.43 ± 0.06	1.70 ± 0.20	1.62 ± 0.01 <sup>a</sup>	68.35 ± 1.08 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>1</sub>	2.43 ± 0.05	0.42 ± 0.02	1.64 ± 0.14	1.38 ± 0.06 <sup>ab</sup>	68.63 ± 1.21 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	2.47 ± 0.07	0.50 ± 0.03	1.65 ± 0.02	1.38 ± 0.03 <sup>ab</sup>	69.13 ± 0.51 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	2.51 ± 0.02	0.53 ± 0.03	1.66 ± 0.05	1.24 ± 0.01 <sup>b</sup>	71.82 ± 0.15 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	2.48 ± 0.02	0.49 ± 0.05	1.67 ± 0.03	1.35 ± 0.01 <sup>ab</sup>	71.00 ± 0.26 <sup>ab</sup>
Mean ± SE	2.47 ± 0.02	0.48 ± 0.02	1.66 ± 0.04	1.39 ± 0.04	69.79 ± 0.47

<sup>a,b,c</sup>, values with different superscripts in the same column differ significantly ( $P<0.05$ ). Here, T<sub>0</sub> = (Control), T<sub>1</sub> = (Antibiotic), T<sub>2</sub> = (0.5% Garlic Powder), T<sub>3</sub> = (0.5% Turmeric powder) and T<sub>4</sub> = (0.25% Garlic Powder & 0.25% Turmeric powder).

### 3.6 Serum biochemical parameters

The data on table 4 showed that, different dietary group had significant ( $P<0.05$ ) effect on serum glucose and cholesterol level (mg/dl) of broiler chicken. Significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) lower concentration of serum glucose found in T<sub>3</sub> (201.12 mg/dl) group compared to T<sub>0</sub> and T<sub>1</sub> group. Significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) lower concentration of cholesterol found in all treated groups compared to control (171.67 mg/dl) group. Concomitant to the results Kim (2010)<sup>[22]</sup> who reported that serum glucose levels has not any significant changes due to garlic supplementation. The present result were in line with Qasem *et al.* (2016)<sup>[26]</sup> and Ahmadi (2010)<sup>[2]</sup> they indicated that serum glucose levels were significantly lower in broiler chickens fed turmeric powder as a dietary supplement due to better utilization of glucose. Present findings were supported Ratika *et al.* (2018)<sup>[29]</sup>, Karim *et al.* (2017)<sup>[20]</sup> and Borgohain *et al.* (2019)<sup>[6]</sup> they noted that total cholesterol was significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) lower in the garlic supplemented

group. The present study was well corroborated with the observation of Arslan *et al.* (2017)<sup>[4]</sup> and Choudhury *et al.* (2018)<sup>[7]</sup> they found that Serum total cholesterol was reduced due to turmeric supplementation compared to control group.

**Table 4:** Effects of dietary supplementation of garlic & turmeric powder on serum biochemical parameters of broiler chicken

Parameters	Glucose (mg/dl)	Cholesterol (mg/dl)
T <sub>0</sub>	247.34 ± 12.84 <sup>a</sup>	171.67 ± 6.18 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>1</sub>	238.84 ± 4.23 <sup>a</sup>	152.56 ± 3.83 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	229.06 ± 9.91 <sup>ab</sup>	152.00 ± 5.63 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	201.12 ± 10.16 <sup>b</sup>	152.33 ± 4.49 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	224.06 ± 9.07 <sup>ab</sup>	151.89 ± 3.54 <sup>b</sup>
Mean ± SE	228.08 ± 4.75	156.09 ± 2.38

<sup>a,b</sup>, values with different superscripts in the same column differ significantly ( $P<0.05$ ). Here, T<sub>0</sub> = (Control), T<sub>1</sub> = (Antibiotic), T<sub>2</sub> = (0.5% Garlic Powder), T<sub>3</sub> = (0.5% Turmeric powder) and T<sub>4</sub> = (0.25% Garlic Powder & 0.25% Turmeric powder).

## Economics of production

Table 5 showed that total cost of production per broiler in T<sub>1</sub> (antibiotic group) was significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) highest as compared to other treated groups. Total income (BDT) and Net profit (BDT) per broiler was found to be numerically ( $P > 0.05$ ) highest in T<sub>3</sub> group (0.5% TP) followed by other groups. Net profit (Tk.) per broiler was found to be comparatively ( $P > 0.05$ ) lowest in T<sub>1</sub> (Antibiotic) group compared to other groups. BCR was comparatively ( $P > 0.05$ ) highest in T<sub>3</sub> (1.32) group and lowest in T<sub>1</sub> (1.27) groups. Among the treatment groups T<sub>3</sub> (0.5% TP) performed better than others. Present result showed that BCR was lowest in antibiotic group because of higher cost of production of using antibiotic. Concomitant to the results Shohe *et al.* (2019)<sup>[3]</sup>, Mondal *et al.* (2015)<sup>[25]</sup> and Kafi *et al.* (2017)<sup>[18]</sup> who found the return of birds was high in turmeric treated groups as compared to control group.

**Table 5:** Effects of supplementation of garlic & turmeric powder in economic impact on broiler rearing.

Treatment groups	Total cost (BDT/bird)	Total income (BDT/bird)	Net profit (BDT/bird)	Benefit cost ratio (BCR)
T <sub>0</sub>	161.43 ± 1.09 <sup>c</sup>	210.96 ± 1.95	49.53 ± 1.88	1.31 ± 0.01
T <sub>1</sub>	170.26 ± 0.86 <sup>a</sup>	215.74 ± 3.13	45.48 ± 3.15	1.27 ± 0.02
T <sub>2</sub>	165.54 ± 0.59 <sup>b</sup>	213.88 ± 5.19	48.35 ± 5.01	1.29 ± 0.03
T <sub>3</sub>	166.20 ± 0.94 <sup>b</sup>	220.01 ± 1.51	53.81 ± 2.40	1.32 ± 0.02
T <sub>4</sub>	165.05 ± 1.43 <sup>b</sup>	214.62 ± 4.14	49.57 ± 2.95	1.30 ± 0.02
Mean ± SE	165.70 ± 0.85	215.04 ± 1.53	49.35 ± 1.42	1.30 ± 0.01

<sup>a,b,c</sup>, values with different superscripts in the same column differ significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ). Here, T<sub>0</sub> = (Control), T<sub>1</sub> = (Antibiotic), T<sub>2</sub> = (0.5% Garlic Powder), T<sub>3</sub> = (0.5% Turmeric powder) and T<sub>4</sub> = (0.25% Garlic Powder & 0.25% Turmeric powder).

## 4. Conclusion

It can be concluded that 0.5% turmeric powder supplementation had very effective impact on production performance, serum biochemical parameters and economic utility of broiler chicken rearing as a replacement of antibiotic compared to 0.5% garlic powder and their combination (0.25% GP + 0.25% TP). Therefore, the present study recommends that implementation of these formulations in the field aspect for commercial broiler production which is safe, sound, and environmentally suitable for our country.

## 5. Acknowledgement

We would like to acknowledge Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University Research System (SAURES) for supporting us financially.

## 6. References

- Adjei MB, Atuahene CC, Attah-Kotoku V. The Response of Broiler Chickens to Dietary Inclusion of Allicin, Effects on Growth Performance and Carcass Traits. *Journal of Animal Science Advances* 2015;5(5):1295-1301.
- Ahmadi F. Effect of turmeric (*Curcuma longa*) powder on performance, oxidative stress state and some of blood parameters in broiler fed on diets containing aflatoxin B1. *Global Veterinaria* 2010;5(6):312-317.
- Shohe A, Vidyarthi VK, Zuyie R. Performance of Broiler Chicken on Diet Supplemented with Turmeric Powder (*Curcuma longa*). *Livestock Research International* 2019;7(2):77-82.
- Arslan M, Haq A, Ashraf M, Iqbal J, Mund MD. Effect of turmeric (*Curcuma longa*) supplementation on growth performance, immune response, carcass characteristics

and cholesterol profile in broilers. *Veterinaria* 2017;66(1):16-19.

- Bagchi A. Extraction of Curcumin. *Journal of Environmental Science, Toxicology and Food Technology* 2012;1(3):01-16.
- Borgohain B, Mahanta JD, Sapkota D, Handique B, Islam R. Effect of Feeding Garlic (*Allium sativum*) on Haematological, Serum Biochemical Profile and Carcass Characteristics in Broiler Chicken. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* 2019;8(10):492-500.
- Choudhury D, Mahanta J, Sapkota D, Saikia B, Islam R. Effect of dietary supplementation of turmeric (*Curcuma longa*) powder on the performance of commercial broiler chicken. *International Journal of Livestock Research* 2018;8(7):182-191.
- Cogliani C, Goossens H and Greko C. Restricting antimicrobial use in food animals: lessons from Europe. *Microbe* 2011;6(6):274-279.
- Daneshyar M, Ghandkanlo MA, Bayeghra FS, Farhangpajhoh F, Aghaei M. Effects of dietary turmeric supplementation on plasma lipoproteins, meat quality and fatty acid composition in broilers. *South African Journal of Animal Science* 2011;41(4):420-428.
- El-katcha MI, Soltan MA, Sharaf MM, Hasen A. Growth performance, immune response, blood serum parameters, nutrient digestibility and carcass traits of broiler chicken as affected by dietary supplementation of garlic extract (Allicin). *Alexandria Journal of Veterinary Sciences* 2016;49(2):50-64.
- Ahmed I, El-Rayes T, Ahmed AI. Assessment of dietary supplementation of turmeric (*curcuma longa*) as a phytobiotic on broiler performance and bacterial count. *Egyptian Journal of Nutrition and Feeds* 2018;21(2):519-528.
- Fadlalla IMT, Mohammed BH, Bakhiet AO. Effect of feeding garlic on the performance and immunity of broilers. *Asian Journal of Poultry Science* 2010;4(4):182-189.
- Fallah R, Mirzaei E. Effect of dietary inclusion of turmeric and thyme powders on performance, blood parameters and immune system of broiler chickens. *Journal of Livestock Science* 2016;7:180-186.
- Gardzielewska J, Pudyszak K, Majewska T, Jakubowska M, Pomianowski J. Effect of plant-supplemented feeding on fresh and frozen storage quality of broiler chicken meat. *Journal of Polish Agricultural Universities* 2003;6(2).
- Gebreyohannes G, Gebreyohannes M. Medicinal values of garlic: A review. *International Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences* 2013;5(9):401-408.
- Issa KJ, Omar JA. Effect of garlic powder on performance and lipid profile of broilers. *Journal of Animal Science* 2012;2(2):62-68.
- Jabar MA, Al-Mossawi A. Susceptibility of some multiple resistant bacteria to garlic extract. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 2007;6(6):771-776.
- Kafi A, Uddin MN, Uddin MJ, Khan MM, Haque ME. Effect of dietary supplementation of turmeric (*Curcuma longa*), ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) and their combination as feed additives on feed intake, growth performance and economics of broiler. *International journal of poultry science* 2017;16(7):257-265.
- Karangiya VK, Savsani HH, Patil SS, Garg DD, Murthy KS, Ribadiya NK *et al.* Effect of dietary supplementation

- of garlic, ginger and their combination on feed intake, growth performance and economics in commercial broilers. *Veterinary world* 2016;9(3):245-250.
20. Karim MB, Hossain ME, Ali MSan and Hossain A. Effect of garlic powder (*Allium sativum*) on growth, dressing parameters, serum biochemical contents and profitability of broiler. *Bangladesh Journal of Animal Science* 2017;46(4):215-224.
  21. Khan RU, Naz S, Javdani M, Nikousefat Z, Selvaggi M, Tufarelli V, *et al.* The use of turmeric (*Curcuma longa*) in poultry feed. *World's Poultry Science Journal* 2012;68(1):97-103.
  22. Kim YJ. Effects of dietary supplementation of garlic by-products on performance and carcass characteristic of chicken meat. *Korean Journal of Poultry Science* 2010;37(3):221-228.
  23. Kyaw PH, San Win K, Lay KK, Moe KK, Maw AA, Swe KH. Effect of dietary garlic and thyme seed supplementation on the production performance, carcass yield and gut microbial population of broiler chickens. *Journal of Scientific Agriculture* 2017;1:269-274.
  24. Al-Mashhadani HE. Effect of different levels of turmeric (*Curcuma longa*) supplementation on broiler performance, carcass characteristic and bacterial count. *Poultry Science* 2015;35(1):25-39.
  25. Mondal MA, Yeasmin T, Karim R, Siddiqui MN, Nabi SR, Sayed MA, *et al.* Effect of dietary supplementation of turmeric (*Curcuma longa*) powder on the growth performance and carcass traits of broiler chicks. *SAARC Journal of Agriculture* 2015;13(1):188-199.
  26. Qasem MA, Alhadj MS, El Nabi AJ, Al-Mufarrej SI. Effects of dietary supplement of turmeric powder (*Curcuma longa*) on blood biochemistry parameters and antioxidant activity in chickens. *South African Journal of Animal Science* 2016;46(2):204-213.
  27. Rahimi S, Teymori Zadeh Z, Torshizi K, Omidbaigi R, Rokni H. Effect of the three herbal extracts on growth performance, immune system, blood factors and intestinal selected bacterial population in broiler chickens. *Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology* 2011;13(4):527-39.
  28. Rajput N, Muhammah N, Yan R, Zhong X, Wang T. Effect of dietary supplementation of curcumin on growth performance, intestinal morphology and nutrients utilization of broiler chicks. *The Journal of Poultry Science* 2013;50(1):44-52.
  29. Ratika K, Singh RJ, Singh RK. Effect of Garlic (*Allium sativum*) and Turmeric (*Cucurma longa*) Powder Supplementation on Blood Parameters of Starter and Finisher Growth Phase of Broilers. *International Journal of Pure & Applied Bioscience* 2018;6(1):562-567.
  30. Sangilimadan K, Richard Churchil R, Premavalli K, Omprakash AV. Effect of garlic (*Allium sativum*) on production performances and carcass traits of Nandanam Broiler-2. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* 2019;8(4):2531-2538.
  31. Sharma A, Ranjan S, Krishna V. Evaluation of Growth Promoter-Boon and Its Effect on Growth Performance of Broilers. *International Journal of Advanced Biotechnology and Research* 2015;6(3):366-371.
  32. Wang D, Huang H, Zhou L, Li W, Zhou H, Hou G, *et al.* Effects of dietary supplementation with turmeric rhizome extract on growth performance, carcass characteristics, antioxidant capability, and meat quality of Wenchang broiler chickens. *Italian Journal of Animal Science* 2015;14(3):344-349.
  33. Yesuf KY, Mersso BT, Bekele TE. Effects of different levels of turmeric, fenugreek and black cumin on carcass characteristics of broiler chicken. *Journal of Livestock Sci* 2017;8:11-17.